

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 814-00702

**HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH
CAPITAL, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

400 Hamilton Ave., Suite 310
Palo Alto, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

743113410
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

94301
(Zip Code)

(650) 289-3060
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this Chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

On November 1, 2011, there were 43,811,939 shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

[PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION](#)

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| Item 1. | Consolidated Financial Statements | 3 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) | 4 |
| | Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2010 | 19 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) | 32 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Changes in Net Assets for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) | 33 |
| | Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) | 34 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 35 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 50 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 70 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 71 |

[PART II. OTHER INFORMATION](#)

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 73 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 73 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 77 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 77 |
| Item 4. | Reserved | 77 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 77 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 77 |

[SIGNATURES](#)

78

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In this Quarterly Report, the “Company,” “Hercules,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer to Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries and its affiliated securitization trusts unless the context otherwise requires.

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | September 30, 2011 <u>(unaudited)</u> | December 31, 2010 |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (cost of \$572,558 and \$445,782, respectively) | \$ 573,494 | \$ 428,782 |
| Affiliate investments (cost of \$3,236 and \$2,880, respectively) | — | 3,069 |
| Control investments (cost of \$11,611 and \$31,743, respectively) | <u>2,983</u> | <u>40,181</u> |
| Total investments, at value (cost of \$587,405 and \$480,405, respectively) | 576,477 | 472,032 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 96,309 | 107,014 |
| Interest receivable | 4,667 | 4,520 |
| Other assets | <u>11,184</u> | <u>7,681</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 688,637</u> | <u>\$ 591,247</u> |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 7,755 | \$ 8,716 |
| Long-term SBA Debentures | 188,750 | 170,000 |
| Long-term Liabilities (Convertible Debt) | <u>70,082</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Total liabilities | 266,587 | 178,716 |
| Net assets consist of: | | |
| Common stock, par value | 43 | 43 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 486,557 | 477,549 |
| Unrealized depreciation on investments | (10,861) | (8,038) |
| Accumulated realized losses on investments | (47,604) | (51,033) |
| Distributions in excess of investment income | <u>(6,085)</u> | <u>(5,990)</u> |
| Total net assets | <u>\$ 422,050</u> | <u>\$ 412,531</u> |
| Total liabilities and net assets | <u>\$ 688,637</u> | <u>\$ 591,247</u> |
| Shares of common stock outstanding (\$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 authorized) | 43,908 | 43,444 |
| Net asset value per share | \$ 9.61 | \$ 9.50 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment (1) | Principal Amount | Cost(2) | Value(3) |
|--|----------------|--|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 69 | \$ 878 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 35 | 186 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 39 | 85 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,341 | 2,473 |
| Total Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 1,484 | 3,622 |
| Anthera Pharmaceuticals Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt Matures September 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.3% or Floor rate of 10.55% | \$ 25,000 | 24,269 | 25,019 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 541 | 378 |
| Total Anthera Pharmaceuticals Inc. | | Common Stock Warrants | | 443 | 308 |
| | | | | 25,253 | 25,705 |
| Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt Matures June 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.15% or Floor rate of 11.9% | \$ 25,000 | 26,554 | 27,304 |
| | | Common Stock | | 842 | 2,583 |
| Total Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 27,396 | 29,887 |
| Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 10.15% | \$ 7,000 | 6,986 | 6,986 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 206 | 90 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 31 | 26 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 28 | 15 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 187 | 143 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 502 | 439 |
| Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 7,940 | 7,699 |
| EpiCept Corporation(5) | Drug Discovery | Common Stock Warrants | | 4 | 13 |
| Total EpiCept Corporation | | | | 4 | 13 |
| Horizon Therapeutics, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Common Stock Warrants | | 231 | 1 |
| Total Horizon Therapeutics, Inc. | | | | 231 | 1 |
| Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corp. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock | | 1,500 | — |
| Total Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corp. | | | | 1,500 | — |
| Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 155 | 1,115 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 2,000 | 3,825 |
| Total Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 2,155 | 4,940 |
| Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 137 | 140 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 1,348 |
| Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 1,137 | 1,488 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| PolyMedix, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt Matures September 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.1% or Floor rate of 12.35% | \$ 7,611 | \$ 7,394 | \$ 7,546 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 480 | 78 |
| Total PolyMedix, Inc. | | | | 7,874 | 7,624 |
| Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 152 | 285 |
| Total Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 152 | 285 |
| Total Drug Discovery (19.25%)* | | | | 75,126 | 81,264 |
| Affinity Videonet, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 149 |
| Total Affinity Videonet, Inc. | | | | 102 | 149 |
| E-band Communications, Corp.(6) | Communications & Networking | Convertible Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Fixed 6.00% | \$ 356 | 356 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 2,880 | — |
| Total E-Band Communications, Corp. | | | | 3,236 | — |
| IKANO Communications, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 45 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 72 | — |
| Total IKANO Communications, Inc. | | | | 117 | — |
| Intelepeer, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.12% or Floor rate of 11.37% | \$ 6,524 | 6,509 | 6,640 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures May 2012 Interest rate Prime + 4.25% | \$ 1,100 | 998 | 998 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 123 |
| Total Intelepeer, Inc. | | | | 7,609 | 7,761 |
| Neonova Holding Company | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 94 | 21 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 197 |
| Total Neonova Holding Company | | | | 344 | 218 |
| Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures October 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or Floor rate of 12.00% | \$ 4,369 | 4,164 | 4,164 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 121 | — |
| Total Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. | | | | 4,285 | 4,164 |
| PeerApp, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.5% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 2,072 | 2,091 | 2,112 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 61 | 91 |
| Total PeerApp, Inc.(5) | | | | 2,152 | 2,203 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Peerless Network, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 95 | \$ 187 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 2,370 |
| Total Peerless Network, Inc. | | | | 1,095 | 2,557 |
| Ping Identity Corporation | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 52 | 410 |
| Total Ping Identity Corporation | | | | 52 | 410 |
| PointOne, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate Libor + 9.0% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 8,375 | 8,153 | 8,153 |
| | Communications & Networking | Common Stock Warrants | | 131 | 194 |
| Total PointOne, Inc. | | | | 8,284 | 8,347 |
| Purcell Systems, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 123 | 89 |
| Total Purcell Systems, Inc. | | | | 123 | 89 |
| Seven Networks, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 174 | — |
| Total Seven Networks, Inc. | | | | 174 | — |
| Stoke, Inc ⁽⁴⁾ | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.0% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 3,051 | 2,995 | 3,025 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 53 | 68 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 65 | 54 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 500 |
| Total Stoke, Inc. | | | | 3,613 | 3,647 |
| Tectura Corporation | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate 11% | \$ 8,125 | 9,324 | 9,209 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures July 2012 Interest rate 11%, PIK interest 1.00% | \$ 17,207 | 17,332 | 17,332 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 51 | 33 |
| Total Tectura Corporation | | | | 26,707 | 26,574 |
| Total Communications & Networking (13.30%)* | | | | 57,893 | 56,119 |
| Atrenta, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 368 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 34 | 121 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 95 | 174 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 375 |
| Total Atrenta, Inc. | | | | 481 | 1,038 |
| Blurb, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 25 | 403 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 298 | 400 |
| Total Blurb, Inc. | | | | 323 | 803 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Braxton Technologies, LLC. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 188 | \$ — |
| Total Braxton Technologies, LLC. | | | | 188 | — |
| Bullhorn, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 43 | 188 |
| Total Bullhorn, Inc. | | | | 43 | 188 |
| Central Desktop, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures April 2014 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 3,000 | 2,872 | 2,872 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 108 | 299 |
| Total Central Desktop, Inc. | | | | 2,980 | 3,171 |
| Clickfox, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures July 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.00% or Floor rate of 11.25% | \$ 4,565 | 4,462 | 4,553 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 177 | 327 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 152 | 296 |
| Total Clickfox, Inc. | | | | 4,791 | 5,176 |
| Forescout Technologies, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 99 | 47 |
| Total Forescout Technologies, Inc. | | | | 99 | 47 |
| GameLogic, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 92 | — |
| Total GameLogic, Inc. | | | | 92 | — |
| HighRoads, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 44 | 7 |
| Total HighRoads, Inc. | | | | 44 | 7 |
| Kxen, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.08% or Floor rate of 8.33% | \$ 3,000 | 2,938 | 2,938 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 47 | 29 |
| Total Kxen, Inc. | | | | 2,985 | 2,967 |
| RichRelevance, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or Floor rate of 7.50% | \$ 5,000 | 4,857 | 4,857 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 98 | 23 |
| Total RichRelevance, Inc. | | | | 4,955 | 4,880 |
| Rockyou, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 117 | 7 |
| Total Rockyou, Inc. | | | | 117 | 7 |
| Sportvision, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 39 | — |
| Total Sportvision, Inc. | | | | 39 | — |
| SugarSync Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures April 2015 Interest rate Prime + 4.50% or Floor rate of 8.25% | \$ 2,000 | 1,946 | 1,946 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 78 | 77 |
| Total SugarSync Inc. | | | | 2,024 | 2,023 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Unify Corporation | Software | Common Stock Warrants | | \$ 1,434 | \$ 332 |
| Total Unify Corporation | | | | 1,434 | 332 |
| White Sky, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt Matures June 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 1,500 | 1,443 | 1,443 |
| | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 54 | 1 |
| Total White Sky, Inc. | | | | 1,497 | 1,444 |
| WildTangent, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 238 | 11 |
| Total WildTangent, Inc. | | | | 238 | 11 |
| Total Software (5.23%)* | | | | 22,330 | 22,094 |
| Luminus Devices, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 183 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 84 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 334 | — |
| Total Luminus Devices, Inc. | | | | 601 | — |
| Maxvision Holding, LLC(7) | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Senior Debt Matures December 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 12.00%, PIK interest 5.00% | \$ 4,366 | 4,462 | 2,069 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures December 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 10.00%, PIK interest 2.00% | \$ 2,681 | 2,653 | — |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures December 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 10.00% | \$ 923 | 914 | 914 |
| | | Common Stock | | 3,581 | — |
| Total Maxvision Holding, LLC | | | | 11,610 | 2,983 |
| Shocking Technologies, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 63 | 57 |
| Total Shocking Technologies, Inc. | | | | 63 | 57 |
| Spatial Photonics, Inc. (8) | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 130 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 768 | — |
| Total Spatial Photonics Inc. | | | | 898 | — |
| Total Electronics & Computer Hardware (.72%)* | | | | 13,172 | 3,040 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures September 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.65% or Floor rate of 10.40% | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,138 | \$ 10,325 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 69 | 722 |
| | | Common Stock | | 1,093 | 1,825 |
| Total Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 11,300 | 12,872 |
| Althea Technologies, Inc. | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.70% or Floor rate of 10.95% | \$ 10,990 | 10,844 | 11,135 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 309 | 362 |
| Total Althea Technologies, Inc. | | | | 11,153 | 11,497 |
| Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd.(5) | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures September 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 12.00% | \$ 8,540 | 8,738 | 8,738 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 490 | 344 |
| Total Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. | | | | 9,228 | 9,082 |
| Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures August 2014 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 11,250 | 11,237 | 11,237 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures August 2014 Interest rate Prime + 8.65% or Floor rate of 12.65% | \$ 15,000 | 14,255 | 14,443 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 1,086 | 584 |
| Total Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 26,578 | 26,264 |
| Quatrx Pharmaceuticals Company | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Convertible Senior Debt Matures March 2012 Interest rate 8.00% | \$ 1,888 | 1,888 | 1,888 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 220 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 307 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 750 | — |
| Total Quatrx Pharmaceuticals Company | | | | 3,165 | 1,888 |
| Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals (14.60%)* | | | | 61,424 | 61,603 |
| Annie's, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 321 | 96 |
| Total Annie's, Inc. | | | | 321 | 96 |
| IPA Holdings, LLC | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 275 | 24 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 260 |
| Total IPA Holding, LLC | | | | 775 | 284 |
| Market Force Information, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 24 | 105 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 481 |
| Total Market Force Information, Inc. | | | | 524 | 586 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Value⁽³⁾</u> |
|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| TV Guide, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Revolving Line of Credit Matures October 2011 Interest rate Prime + 11.00% or Floor rate of 13.00% | \$ 500 | \$ 479 | \$ 479 |
| Total TV Guide, Inc. | | | | 479 | 479 |
| Wageworks, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 252 | 2,510 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 390 |
| Total Wageworks, Inc. | | | | 502 | 2,900 |
| Total Consumer & Business Products (1.03%)* | | | | <u>2,601</u> | <u>4,345</u> |
| Achronix Semiconductor Corporation | Semiconductors | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 10.60% or Floor rate of 13.85% | \$ 2,500 | 2,396 | 2,396 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 160 | 152 |
| Total Achronix Semiconductor Corporation | | | | 2,556 | 2,548 |
| Enpirion, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 157 | — |
| Total Enpirion, Inc. | | | | 157 | — |
| iWatt, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 46 | 3 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 51 | 1 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 73 | 2 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 458 | 7 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 490 | 983 |
| Total iWatt, Inc. | | | | 1,118 | 996 |
| Kovio Inc. | Semiconductors | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.50% or Floor rate of 9.25% | \$ 1,250 | 1,213 | 1,213 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 27 | 27 |
| Total Kovio Inc. | | | | 1,240 | 1,240 |
| NEXX Systems, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 297 | 1,330 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 277 | 802 |
| Total NEXX Systems, Inc. | | | | 574 | 2,132 |
| Quartics, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 53 | — |
| Total Quartics, Inc. | | | | 53 | — |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Value⁽³⁾</u> |
|--|-----------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Total Semiconductors (1.64%)* | | | | <u>\$ 5,698</u> | <u>\$ 6,916</u> |
| AcelRX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50% | \$ 5,000 | 4,889 | 4,889 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50% | \$ 5,000 | 4,889 | 4,889 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 178 | 102 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 178 | 102 |
| Total AcelRX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | <u>10,134</u> | <u>9,982</u> |
| Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.5% or Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 11,770 | 11,699 | 12,121 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 645 | 103 |
| Total Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | <u>12,344</u> | <u>12,224</u> |
| BIND Biosciences, Inc. | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures July 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.45% or Floor rate of 10.70% | \$ 5,000 | 4,655 | 4,805 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 53 | 75 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 50 | 76 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 188 | 312 |
| Total BIND Biosciences, Inc. | | | | <u>4,946</u> | <u>5,268</u> |
| Labopharm USA, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate 10.95% | \$ 9,771 | 9,718 | 9,718 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate Prime + 3.20% or Floor rate of 10.95% | \$ 3,257 | 3,417 | 3,417 |
| Total Labopharm USA, Inc. | | | | <u>13,135</u> | <u>13,135</u> |
| Merrion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 9.20% or Floor rate of 12.45% | \$ 5,000 | 4,735 | 3,870 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 213 | 23 |
| Total Merrion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | <u>4,948</u> | <u>3,893</u> |
| Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Delivery | Common Stock Warrants | | 36 | 57 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 51 | 86 |
| | | Common Stock | | 500 | 275 |
| Total Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | <u>587</u> | <u>418</u> |
| Revence Therapeutics, Inc. | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.60% or Floor rate of 9.85% | \$ 22,000 | 21,257 | 21,257 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 557 | 557 |
| Total Revance Therapeutics, Inc. | | | | <u>21,814</u> | <u>21,814</u> |
| Total Drug Delivery (15.81%)* | | | | <u>67,908</u> | <u>66,734</u> |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment</u> ⁽¹⁾ | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost</u> ⁽²⁾ | <u>Value</u> ⁽³⁾ |
|--|-----------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BARRX Medical, Inc. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt Matures December 2011 Interest rate 11.00% | \$ 768 | \$ 1,295 | \$ 1,295 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 76 | 110 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | <u>1,501</u> | <u>2,607</u> |
| Total BARRX Medical, Inc. | | | | 2,872 | 4,012 |
| EKOS Corporation | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 175 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | <u>153</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Total EKOS Corporation | | | | 328 | — |
| Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾ | Therapeutic | Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate Prime + 4.65% or Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 2,771 | 2,820 | — |
| Total Gelesis, Inc. | | | | 2,820 | — |
| Gynesonics, Inc. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 5,846 | 5,775 | 5,842 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 228 | 240 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | <u>532</u> | <u>451</u> |
| Total Gynesonics, Inc. | | | | 6,535 | 6,533 |
| Light Science Oncology, Inc. | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 99 | 176 |
| Total Light Science Oncology, Inc. | | | | 99 | 176 |
| Novasys Medical, Inc. | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 71 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 54 | 1 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | <u>1,000</u> | <u>1,001</u> |
| Total Novasys Medical, Inc. | | | | 1,125 | 1,002 |
| Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 4.75% or Floor rate of 9.50% | \$ 7,500 | 7,317 | 7,317 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | <u>232</u> | <u>232</u> |
| Total Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. | | | | 7,549 | 7,549 |
| Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC | Therapeutic | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 8.0% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 5,685 | 5,592 | 5,592 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 6.5% or Floor rate of 9.00% | \$ 1,500 | 1,483 | 1,396 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 10.50% or Floor rate of 13.0%, PIK interest 3.75% | \$ 5,900 | 6,185 | 6,302 |
| Total Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC | | | | 13,260 | 13,290 |
| Total Therapeutic (7.72%)* | | | | <u>34,588</u> | <u>32,562</u> |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment (1)</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost(2)</u> | <u>Value(3)</u> |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Cozi Group, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 147 | \$ — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 177 | 48 |
| Total Cozi Group, Inc. | | | | 324 | 48 |
| Invoke Solutions, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 56 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 26 | — |
| Total Invoke Solutions, Inc. | | | | 82 | — |
| InXpo, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures March 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.5% or Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 3,500 | 3,403 | 3,403 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 98 | 82 |
| Total InXpo, Inc. | | | | 3,501 | 3,485 |
| Prism Education Group, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 43 | 109 |
| Total Prism Education Group, Inc. | | | | 43 | 109 |
| RazorGator Interactive Group, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 13 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 28 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,183 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | — |
| Total RazorGator Interactive Group, Inc. | | | | 2,224 | — |
| Reply! Inc.(4) | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures June 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.87% or Floor rate of 10.12% | \$ 13,000 | 12,862 | 12,862 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 320 | 206 |
| Total Reply! Inc. | | | | 13,182 | 13,068 |
| ScriptSave (Medical Security Card Company, LLC) | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures February 2016 Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or Floor rate of 11.25% | \$ 20,158 | 19,786 | 20,391 |
| Total ScriptSave | | | | 19,786 | 20,391 |
| Trulia, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 2.75% or Floor rate of 6.00% | \$ 5,000 | 4,856 | 4,856 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.50% or Floor rate of 8.75% | \$ 5,000 | 4,857 | 4,857 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 188 | 187 |
| Total Trulia, Inc. | | | | 9,901 | 9,900 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Vaultlogix, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures September 2016 Interest rate Libor + 8.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%, PIK interest 2.50% | \$ 7,500 | \$ 7,382 | \$ 7,382 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures September 2015 Interest rate Libor + 7.00% or Floor rate of 8.50% | \$ 11,500 | 11,309 | 11,309 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures September 2015 Interest rate Libor + 6.00% or Floor rate of 7.50% | \$ 300 | 283 | 283 |
| Total Vaultlogix, Inc. | | | | 18,974 | 18,974 |
| Total Internet Consumer & Business Services (15.63%) | | | | 68,017 | 65,975 |
| Lilliputian Systems, Inc. | Energy | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 106 | — |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 48 | — |
| Total Lilliputian Systems, Inc. | | | | 154 | — |
| Total Energy (0.00%)* | | | | 154 | — |
| Box.net, Inc. | Information Services | Senior Debt Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 3.75% or Floor rate of 7.50% | \$ 4,808 | 4,686 | 4,686 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures July 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50% | \$ 1,590 | 1,602 | 1,634 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 73 | 1,998 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 117 | 1,352 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 194 | 191 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 3,137 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,500 | 2,272 |
| Total Box.net, Inc. | | | | 8,672 | 15,270 |
| Buzznet, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 9 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 34 |
| Total Buzznet, Inc. | | | | 259 | 34 |
| Cha Cha Search, Inc. | Information Services | Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 9.50% | \$ 3,000 | 2,916 | 2,916 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 58 | 10 |
| Total Cha Cha Search, Inc. | | | | 2,974 | 2,926 |
| XL Education Corp. | Information Services | Common Stock | | 880 | 880 |
| Total XL Education Corp. | | | | 880 | 880 |
| hi5 Networks, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 213 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 741 |
| Total hi5 Networks, Inc. | | | | 463 | 741 |
| Jab Wireless, Inc. | Information Services | Senior Debt Matures August 2016 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 6.75% | \$ 18,121 | 17,858 | 17,858 |
| Jab Wireless, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 265 | 281 |
| Total Jab Wireless, Inc. | | | | 18,123 | 18,139 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Value⁽³⁾</u> |
|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Solutionary, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 94 | \$ — |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 2 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 42 |
| Total Solutionary, Inc. | | | | 346 | 42 |
| Intelligent Beauty, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 230 | 90 |
| Total Intelligent Beauty, Inc. | | | | 230 | 90 |
| Good Technologies, Inc. | Information Services | Common Stock | | 603 | 95 |
| Total Good Technologies, Inc. | | | | 603 | 95 |
| Zeta Interactive Corporation | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 172 | 110 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 501 | 485 |
| Total Zeta Interactive Corporation | | | | 673 | 595 |
| Total Information Services (9.20%) | | | | 33,223 | 38,812 |
| Novadaq Technologies, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | Diagnostic | Common Stock | | 1,415 | 808 |
| Total Novadaq Technologies, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | 1,415 | 808 |
| Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. | Diagnostic | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures December 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 8.20% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.45% | \$ 10,750 | 10,792 | 11,162 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,069 | 668 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 3,656 | 2,251 |
| Total Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. | | | | 15,517 | 14,081 |
| Total Diagnostic (3.53%)* | | | | 16,932 | 14,889 |
| deCODE genetics ehf. | Biotechnology Tools | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures September 2014 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 10.25% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 13.50%, | | | |
| | | PIK interest 2.00% | \$ 5,000 | 4,740 | 4,740 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 305 | 358 |
| Total deCODE genetics ehf. | | | | 5,045 | 5,098 |
| Kamada, LTD. | Biotechnology Tools | Common Stock | | 427 | 398 |
| Total Kamada, LTD. | | | | 427 | 398 |
| Labcyte, Inc. | Biotechnology Tools | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures May 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 8.6% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.85% | \$ 2,800 | 2,774 | 2,849 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 192 | 190 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 5 | 5 |
| Total Labcyte, Inc. | | | | 2,971 | 3,044 |
| NeurogesX, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures February 2015 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 9.50% | \$ 15,000 | 14,433 | 14,433 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 503 | 132 |
| Total NeurogesX, Inc. | | | | 14,936 | 14,565 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Value⁽³⁾</u> |
|--|---------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| NuGEN Technologies, Inc. | Biotechnology Tools | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 45 | \$ 203 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 33 | 15 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 473 |
| Total NuGEN Technologies, Inc. | | | | 578 | 691 |
| Total Biotechnology Tools (5.64%)* | | | | 23,957 | 23,796 |
| Entrigue Surgical, Inc. | Surgical Devices | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures December 2014 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 5.90% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 9.65% | \$ 3,000 | 2,863 | 2,863 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 87 | 87 |
| Total Entrigue Surgical, Inc. | | | | 2,950 | 2,950 |
| Transmedics, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Surgical Devices | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures February 2014 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 9.70% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.95% | \$ 8,375 | 9,115 | 4,733 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 225 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,169 | — |
| Total Transmedics, Inc. | | | | 10,509 | 4,733 |
| Total Surgical Devices (1.82%)* | | | | 13,459 | 7,683 |
| Glam Media, Inc. | Media/Content/Info | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 482 | 138 |
| Total Glam Media, Inc. | | | | 482 | 138 |
| Everyday Health, Inc. (Waterfront Media, Inc.) | Media/Content/Info | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 60 | 364 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 945 |
| Total Everyday Health | | | | 1,060 | 1,309 |
| Women's Marketing, Inc. | Media/Content/Info | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures May 2016 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Libor + 9.50% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.00%, | | | |
| | | PIK interest 3.00% | \$ 10,000 | 9,866 | 9,866 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures November 2015 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Libor + 7.50% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 10.0% | \$ 9,875 | 9,648 | 9,648 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures November 2015 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Libor + 7.50% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 10.0% | \$ 10,125 | 9,891 | 9,891 |
| Total Women's Marketing, Inc. | | | | 29,405 | 29,405 |
| Total Media/Content/Info (7.31%)* | | | | 30,947 | 30,852 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment</u> ⁽¹⁾ | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost</u> ⁽²⁾ | <u>Value</u> ⁽³⁾ |
|--|-----------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BrightSource Energy, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures December 2011 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.0% | \$ 11,250 | \$ 11,096 | \$ 11,096 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate Prime + 9.55% or Floor rate of 12.8% | \$ 13,750 | 13,542 | 13,542 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 675 | 623 |
| Total BrightSource Energy, Inc. | | | | 25,313 | 25,261 |
| Calera, Inc. | Clean Tech | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 513 | 660 |
| Total Calera, Inc. | | | | 513 | 660 |
| EcoMotors, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures February 2014 Interest rate Prime + 6.1% or Floor rate of 9.35% | \$ 5,383 | 5,260 | 5,421 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 154 | 451 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 154 | 451 |
| Total EcoMotors, Inc. | | | | 5,568 | 6,323 |
| Enphase Energy, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures June 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 9.0% | \$ 4,248 | 4,135 | 4,135 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 17 |
| Total Enphase Energy, Inc. | | | | 4,237 | 4,152 |
| GreatPoint Energy, Inc. | Clean Tech | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 548 | 203 |
| Total GreatPoint Energy, Inc. | | | | 548 | 203 |
| NanoSolar, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures September 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.0% | \$ 10,000 | 9,515 | 9,515 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 355 | 355 |
| Total NanoSolar, Inc. | | | | 9,870 | 9,870 |
| Propel Biofuels, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures September 2013 Interest rate 11.0% | \$ 1,540 | 1,562 | 1,570 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 211 | 195 |
| Total Propel Biofuels, Inc. | | | | 1,773 | 1,765 |
| Scientific Conservation, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures October 2014 Interest rate 6.25% | \$ 202 | 196 | 196 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 8 | 2 |
| Total Scientific Conservation, Inc. | | | | 204 | 198 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
September 30, 2011
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| <u>Portfolio Company</u> | <u>Industry</u> | <u>Type of Investment⁽¹⁾</u> | <u>Principal Amount</u> | <u>Cost⁽²⁾</u> | <u>Value⁽³⁾</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Solexel, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 1,031 | \$ 966 | \$ 966 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 8,927 | 9,660 | 9,660 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 335 | 11 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 259 | 71 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 142 | 142 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 426 | 427 |
| Total Solexel, Inc. | | | | <u>11,788</u> | <u>11,277</u> |
| Trilliant, Inc. | Clean Tech | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 89 | 46 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 73 | 38 |
| Total Trilliant, Inc. | | | | <u>162</u> | <u>84</u> |
| Total Clean Tech (14.17%)* | | | | <u>59,976</u> | <u>59,793</u> |
| Total Investments | | | | <u>\$587,405</u> | <u>\$576,477</u> |

* Value as a percent of net assets

- (1) Preferred and common stock, warrants, and equity interests are generally non-income producing.
- (2) Gross unrealized appreciation, gross unrealized depreciation, and net depreciation for federal income tax purposes totaled \$28,443, \$40,649 and \$12,205 respectively. The tax cost of investments is \$588,807.
- (3) Except for warrants in twelve publicly traded companies and common stock in five publicly traded companies, all investments are restricted at September 30, 2011. No unrestricted securities of the same issuer are outstanding. The Company uses the Standard Industrial Code for classifying the industry grouping of its portfolio companies.
- (4) Debt investments of this portfolio company have been pledged as collateral under the Wells Facility.
- (5) Non-U.S. company or the company's principal place of business is outside the United States.
- (6) Affiliate investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 5% but not more than 25% of the voting securities of the company.
- (7) Control investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 25% of the voting securities of the company, or has greater than 50% representation on its board.
- (8) Debt is on non-accrual status at September 30, 2011, and is therefore considered non-income producing.

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 69 | \$ 922 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 35 | 189 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 39 | 99 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,341 | 2,316 |
| Total Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 1,484 | 3,526 |
| Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures September 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 7.15% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.9% | \$ 25,000 | 26,108 | 26,108 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 190 | 686 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 104 | 165 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 24 | 58 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 288 | 770 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 236 | 630 |
| Total Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 26,950 | 28,417 |
| Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures July 2012 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 9.20% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.95% | \$ 4,699 | 4,678 | 4,706 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 205 | 182 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 30 | 33 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 28 | 25 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 503 | 503 |
| Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 5,444 | 5,449 |
| EpiCept Corporation | Drug Discovery | Common Stock Warrants | | 4 | 112 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 40 | 10 |
| Total EpiCept Corporation | | | | 44 | 122 |
| Horizon Therapeutics, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 231 | — |
| Total Horizon Therapeutics, Inc. | | | | 231 | — |
| Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corp. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock | | 1,500 | — |
| Total Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corp. | | | | 1,500 | — |
| Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 155 | 170 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 2,000 | 1,547 |
| Total Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 2,155 | 1,717 |
| Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 137 | 155 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 999 |
| Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 1,137 | 1,154 |
| PolyMedix, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures September 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 7.1% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.35% | \$ 10,000 | 9,605 | 9,605 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 480 | 248 |
| Total PolyMedix, Inc. | | | | 10,085 | 9,853 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|-----------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Discovery | Senior Debt Matures April 2011 Interest rate Prime + 2.16% | \$ 1,666 | \$ 2,033 | \$ 2,033 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 152 | 506 |
| Total Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 2,185 | 2,539 |
| Total Drug Discovery (12.79%)* | | | | 51,215 | 52,777 |
| Affinity Videonet, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 180 |
| Total Affinity Videonet, Inc. | | | | 102 | 180 |
| E-band Communications, Corp. ⁽⁶⁾ | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock | | 2,880 | 3,069 |
| Total E-Band Communications, Corp. | | | | 2,880 | 3,069 |
| IKANO Communications, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures August 2011 Interest rate 12.00% | \$ 1,654 | 1,953 | 1,953 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 45 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 72 | |
| Total IKANO Communications, Inc. | | | | 2,070 | 1,953 |
| Intelepeer, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.125% | \$ 7,624 | 7,468 | 7,459 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 102 | 111 |
| Total Intelepeer, Inc. | | | | 7,570 | 7,570 |
| Neonova Holding Company | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 94 | 12 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 140 |
| Total Neonova Holding Company | | | | 344 | 152 |
| Opsource, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00% | \$ 5,000 | 4,888 | 4,888 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 2,000 | 1,944 | 1,905 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures June 2011 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50% | \$ 1,500 | 1,458 | 1,458 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 223 | 105 |
| Total Opsource, Inc. | | | | 8,513 | 8,356 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures April 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.5% or Floor rate of 12.0% | \$ 10,000 | \$ 9,634 | \$ 9,634 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 121 | 147 |
| Total Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. | | | | 9,755 | 9,781 |
| PeerApp, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.5% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 2,911 | 2,855 | 2,792 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 61 | 65 |
| Total PeerApp, Inc. | | | | 2,916 | 2,857 |
| Peerless Network, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 95 | 138 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 1,930 |
| Total Peerless Network, Inc. | | | | 1,095 | 2,068 |
| Ping Identity Corporation | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 52 | 6 |
| Total Ping Identity Corporation | | | | 52 | 6 |
| Purcell Systems, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 123 | 330 |
| Total Purcell Systems, Inc. | | | | 123 | 330 |
| Seven Networks, Inc. | Communications & Networking | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 174 | 40 |
| Total Seven Networks, Inc. | | | | 174 | 40 |
| Stoke, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.0% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 4,000 | 3,883 | 3,883 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 53 | 210 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 65 | 133 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 500 |
| Total Stoke, Inc. | | | | 4,501 | 4,726 |
| Tectura Corporation | Communications & Networking | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate 11% | \$ 5,625 | 5,512 | 5,512 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures July 2011 Interest rate 11% | \$ 17,477 | 18,488 | 18,488 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 50 | 10 |
| Total Tectura Corporation | | | | 24,050 | 24,010 |
| Total Communications & Networking (15.78%)* | | | | 64,145 | 65,098 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Atrenta, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 102 | \$ 46 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 34 | 15 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 95 | 22 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 143 |
| Total Atrenta, Inc. | | | | 481 | 226 |
| Blurb, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures June 2011 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 3.50% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 8.5% | \$ 1,162 | 1,392 | 1,392 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 25 | 349 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 299 | 228 |
| Total Blurb, Inc. | | | | 1,716 | 1,969 |
| Braxton Technologies, LLC. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 188 | |
| Total Braxton Technologies, LLC. | | | | 188 | |
| Bullhorn, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 43 | 234 |
| Total Bullhorn, Inc. | | | | 43 | 234 |
| Clickfox, Inc. | Software | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures July 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 6.00% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.25% | \$ 6,000 | 5,801 | 5,801 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit | | | |
| | | Matures July 2011 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 5.00% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.00% | \$ 2,000 | 1,997 | 1,996 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 177 | 643 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 152 | 643 |
| Total Clickfox, Inc. | | | | 8,127 | 9,083 |
| Forescout Technologies, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 99 | 14 |
| Total Forescout Technologies, Inc. | | | | 99 | 14 |
| GameLogic, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 92 | |
| Total GameLogic, Inc. | | | | 92 | |
| HighJump Acquisition, LLC. | Software | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures May 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Libor + 9.25% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 12.50% | \$ 17,500 | 17,386 | 17,386 |
| Total HighJump Acquisition, LLC. | | | | 17,386 | 17,386 |
| HighRoads, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 44 | 65 |
| Total HighRoads, Inc. | | | | 44 | 65 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment (1) | Principal Amount | Cost(2) | Value(3) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Infologix, Inc.(7) | Software | Senior Debt Matures November 2013 Interest rate 18.00% | \$ 5,500 | \$ 5,162 | \$ 5,162 |
| | | Convertible Senior Debt Matures November 2014 Interest rate 12.00% | | 1,111 | 1,127 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures May 2011 Interest rate 12.00% | \$ 12,317 | 12,317 | 12,317 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures December 2010 Interest rate 18.00% | \$ 2,178 | 2,178 | 2,178 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate 8.00% | \$ 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures September 2011 Interest rate 10.00% | \$ 500 | 509 | 509 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 725 | 1,394 |
| | | Common Stock | | 5,000 | 9,620 |
| | | Common Stock | | 36 | 69 |
| | | Common Stock | | 3,355 | 6,455 |
| Total Infologix, Inc. | | | | 31,743 | 40,181 |
| PSS Systems, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 51 | 17 |
| Total PSS Systems, Inc. | | | | 51 | 17 |
| Rockyou, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 117 | 186 |
| Total Rockyou, Inc. | | | | 117 | 186 |
| Sportvision, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 39 | |
| Total Sportvision, Inc. | | | | 39 | |
| Unify Corporation | Software | Senior Debt Matures June 2015 Interest rate Libor + 8.50% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 24,000 | 22,248 | 22,968 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures June 2015 Interest rate Libor + 7.50% or Floor rate of 9.50% | \$ 3,750 | 3,731 | 3,476 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,434 | 693 |
| Total Unify Corporation | | | | 27,413 | 27,137 |
| WildTangent, Inc. | Software | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 238 | 10 |
| Total WildTangent, Inc. | | | | 238 | 10 |
| Total Software (23.39%)* | | | | 87,777 | 96,508 |
| Luminus Devices, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Senior Debt Matures December 2011 Interest rate 11.875% | \$ 540 | 540 | 540 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 183 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 84 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 334 | |
| Total Luminus Devices, Inc. | | | | 1,141 | 540 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Maxvision Holding, LLC. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Senior Debt Matures October 2012 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 5,000 | \$ 5,377 | \$ 377 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures April 2012 Interest rate Prime + 5.0% or Floor rate of 8.5% | \$ 3,409 | 3,382 | 3,382 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures April 2012 Interest rate Prime + 5.0% or Floor rate of 8.5% | \$ 3,100 | 3,163 | 3,163 |
| | | Common Stock | | 81 | |
| Total Maxvision Holding, LLC. | | | | 12,003 | 6,922 |
| Shocking Technologies, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 63 | 90 |
| Total Shocking Technologies, Inc. | | | | 63 | 90 |
| Spatial Photonics, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 129 | — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 767 | 267 |
| Total Spatial Photonics, Inc. | | | | 896 | 267 |
| VeriWave, Inc. | Electronics & Computer Hardware | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 54 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 46 | |
| Total VeriWave, Inc. | | | | 100 | |
| Total Electronics & Computer Hardware (1.90%)* | | | | 14,203 | 7,819 |
| Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 69 | 761 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,475 | 2,206 |
| Total Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 1,544 | 2,967 |
| Althea Technologies, Inc. | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.70% or Floor rate of 10.95% | \$ 12,000 | 11,661 | 11,661 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 309 | 276 |
| Total Althea Technologies, Inc. | | | | 11,970 | 11,937 |
| Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. ⁽⁵⁾ | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures September 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 12.00% | \$ 10,000 | 9,797 | 10,021 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 490 | 632 |
| Total Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. | | | | 10,287 | 10,653 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures May 2014 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 11,250 | \$11,105 | \$11,105 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures May 2014 Interest rate Prime + 8.65% or Floor rate of 12.65% | \$ 15,000 | 13,749 | 13,749 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,086 | 1,255 |
| Total Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 25,940 | 26,109 |
| QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company | Specialty Pharmaceuticals | Senior Debt Matures October 2011 Interest rate Prime + 8.90% or Floor rate of 12.15% | \$ 9,306 | 9,474 | 9,474 |
| | | Convertible Senior Debt Interest Rate of 8.0% Matures March 2012 | \$ 1,888 | 1,888 | 2,467 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 220 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 307 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 751 | |
| Total QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company | | | | 12,640 | 11,941 |
| Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals (15.42%)* | | | | 62,381 | 63,607 |
| Annie's, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 321 | 75 |
| Total Annie's, Inc. | | | | 321 | 75 |
| IPA Holdings, LLC. ⁽⁴⁾ | Consumer & Business Products | Senior Debt Matures November 2012 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 11.0% | \$ 8,250 | 8,505 | 8,160 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 9.75% or Floor rate of 14.0% | \$ 6,500 | 7,019 | 6,995 |
| | | Revolving Line of Credit Matures November 2012 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 856 | 761 | 761 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 275 | |
| | | Common Stock | | 500 | |
| Total IPA Holdings, LLC. | | | | 17,060 | 15,916 |
| Market Force Information, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 24 | 60 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 439 |
| Total Market Force Information, Inc. | | | | 524 | 499 |
| Trading Machines, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾ | Consumer & Business Products | Senior Debt Matures January 2014 Interest rate Prime + 10.25% or Floor rate of 13.50% | \$ 9,812 | 8,644 | 4,000 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 878 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 50 | |
| Total Trading Machines, Inc. | | | | 9,572 | 4,000 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Velocity Technology Solutions, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 8% or Floor rate of 11.00% | \$ 15,417 | \$15,072 | \$14,574 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 10% or Floor rate of 13.00% | \$ 8,333 | 8,317 | 8,526 |
| Total Velocity Technology Solutions, Inc. | | | | 23,389 | 23,100 |
| Wageworks, Inc. | Consumer & Business Products | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 253 | 1,443 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 283 |
| Total Wageworks, Inc. | | | | 503 | 1,726 |
| Total Consumer & Business Products (10.98%)* | | | | 51,369 | 45,316 |
| Enpirion, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 157 | 1 |
| Total Enpirion, Inc. | | | | 157 | 1 |
| iWatt, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 46 | 1 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 51 | 33 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 73 | 44 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 458 | 391 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 490 | 940 |
| Total iWatt, Inc. | | | | 1,118 | 1,409 |
| NEXX Systems, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 297 | 1,113 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 277 | 704 |
| Total NEXX Systems, Inc. | | | | 574 | 1,817 |
| Quartics, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 53 | |
| Total Quartics, Inc. | | | | 53 | |
| Solarflare Communications, Inc. | Semiconductors | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 83 | |
| | | Common Stock | | 642 | |
| Total Solarflare Communications, Inc. | | | | 725 | |
| Total Semiconductors (0.78%)* | | | | 2,627 | 3,227 |
| Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.5% or Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 15,000 | 14,526 | 14,472 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 645 | 193 |
| Total Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 15,171 | 14,665 |
| Labopharm USA, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | Drug Delivery | Senior Debt Matures December 2012 Interest rate 10.95% | \$ 20,000 | 19,872 | 19,872 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 635 | 329 |
| Total Labopharm USA, Inc. | | | | 20,507 | 20,201 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Transept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | Drug Delivery | Common Stock Warrants | | \$ 36 | \$ 60 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 51 | 16 |
| | | Common Stock | | 500 | 308 |
| Total Transept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | | | | 587 | 384 |
| Total Drug Delivery (8.54%)* | | | | 36,265 | 35,250 |
| BARRX Medical, Inc. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Mature December 2011 | | | |
| | | Interest rate 11.00% | \$ 2,901 | 3,350 | 3,350 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 76 | 70 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,500 | 1,890 |
| Total BARRX Medical, Inc. | | | | 4,926 | 5,310 |
| EKOS Corporation | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 174 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 153 | |
| Total EKOS Corporation | | | | 327 | |
| Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾ | Therapeutic | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures May 2012 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 7.5% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 10.75% | \$ 2,771 | 2,800 | 45 |
| Total Gelesis, Inc. | | | | 2,800 | 45 |
| Gynesonics, Inc. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Mature October 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 6,500 | 6,277 | 6,277 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 228 | 221 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 532 | 456 |
| Total Gynesonics, Inc. | | | | 7,037 | 6,954 |
| Light Science Oncology, Inc. | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 99 | 26 |
| Total Light Science Oncology, Inc. | | | | 99 | 26 |
| Novasys Medical, Inc. | Therapeutic | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 71 | 1 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 54 | 7 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 1,159 |
| Total Novasys Medical, Inc. | | | | 1,125 | 1,167 |
| Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC. | Therapeutic | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures January 2015 | | | |
| | | Interest rate LIBOR + 8.0% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 6,539 | 6,392 | 5,802 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures January 2015 | | | |
| | | Interest rate LIBOR + 10.50% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 13.0% | \$ 5,900 | 5,996 | 5,996 |
| Total Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC. | | | | 12,388 | 11,798 |
| Total Therapeutic (6.13%)* | | | | 28,702 | 25,300 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Cozi Group, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 147 | \$ — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 177 | 292 |
| Total Cozi Group, Inc. | | | | 324 | 292 |
| Invoke Solutions, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 56 | 74 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 26 | 18 |
| Total Invoke Solutions, Inc. | | | | 82 | 92 |
| Prism Education Group, Inc. | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 43 | 50 |
| Total Prism Education Group, Inc. | | | | 43 | 50 |
| RazorGator Interactive Group, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Revolving Line of Credit Matures October 2011 Interest rate Prime + 9.50% or Floor rate of 14.00% | \$ 2,108 | 1,855 | 1,855 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 13 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 28 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,183 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | |
| Total RazorGator Interactive Group, Inc. | | | | 4,079 | 1,855 |
| Reply! Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Internet Consumer & Business Services | Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 6.5% or Floor rate of 9.75% | \$ 5,000 | 4,646 | 4,646 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 320 | 320 |
| Total Reply! Inc. | | | | 4,966 | 4,966 |
| Total Internet Consumer & Business Services (1.76%) * | | | | 9,494 | 7,255 |
| Lilliputian Systems, Inc. | Energy | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 106 | 3 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | | 49 | — |
| Total Lilliputian Systems, Inc. | | | | 155 | 3 |
| Total Energy (0.00%)* | | | | 155 | 3 |
| Box.net, Inc. | Information Services | Senior Debt Matures May 2011 Interest rate Prime + 1.50% or Floor rate of 7.50% | \$ 213 | 270 | 270 |
| | | Senior Debt Matures September 2011 Interest rate Prime + 0.50% or Floor rate of 6.50% | \$ 127 | 139 | 139 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 73 | 184 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 117 | 117 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 500 |
| Total Box.net, Inc. | | | | 1,099 | 1,210 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Buzznet, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | \$ 9 | \$ — |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 37 |
| Total Buzznet, Inc. | | | | 259 | 37 |
| XL Education Corp. | Information Services | Common Stock | | 880 | 880 |
| Total XL Education Corp. | | | | 880 | 880 |
| hi5 Networks, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 213 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 247 |
| Total hi5 Networks, Inc. | | | | 463 | 247 |
| Jab Wireless, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 265 | 122 |
| Total Jab Wireless, Inc. | | | | 265 | 122 |
| Solutionary, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 94 | |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 2 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | 50 |
| Total Solutionary, Inc. | | | | 346 | 50 |
| Intelligent Beauty, Inc. | Information Services | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures March 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 8.0% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.25% | \$ 5,812 | 5,563 | 5,557 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures October 2013 | | | |
| | | Interest rate Prime + 8.0% or | | | |
| | | Floor rate of 11.25% | \$ 2,000 | 1,942 | 1,942 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 230 | 230 |
| Total Intelligent Beauty, Inc. | | | | 7,735 | 7,729 |
| Good Technologies, Inc. | Information Services | Common Stock | | 603 | 150 |
| Total Good Technologies, Inc. | | | | 603 | 150 |
| Coveroo, Inc. | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 7 | — |
| Total Coveroo, Inc. | | | | 7 | |
| Zeta Interactive Corporation | Information Services | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 172 | 57 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 375 |
| Total Zeta Interactive Corporation | | | | 672 | 432 |
| Total Information Services (2.63%)* | | | | 12,329 | 10,857 |
| Novadaq Technologies, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ | Diagnostic | Common Stock | | 1,415 | 675 |
| Total Novadaq Technologies, Inc. | | | | 1,415 | 675 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Optiscan Biomedical Corp. | Diagnostic | Senior Debt Matures December 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.0% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 10,750 | \$10,392 | \$10,392 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 1,069 | 637 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 3,656 | 3,207 |
| Total Optiscan Biomedical Corp. | | | | 15,117 | 14,236 |
| Total Diagnostic (3.61%)* | | | | 16,532 | 14,911 |
| Kamada, LTD. ⁽⁵⁾ | Biotechnology Tools | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 159 | 164 |
| | | Common Stock | | 752 | 1,754 |
| Total Kamada, LTD. | | | | 911 | 1,918 |
| Labycte, Inc. | | Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.6% or Floor rate of 11.85% | \$ 3,885 | 3,761 | 3,821 |
| | Biotechnology Tools | Common Stock Warrants | | 192 | |
| Total Labycte, Inc. | | | | 3,953 | 3,821 |
| NuGEN Technologies, Inc. | Biotechnology Tools | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 45 | 44 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 33 | 1 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 500 | 203 |
| Total NuGEN Technologies, Inc. | | | | 578 | 248 |
| Total Biotechnology Tools (1.45%)* | | | | 5,442 | 5,987 |
| Crux Biomedical, Inc. | Surgical Devices | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 37 | |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 250 | |
| Total Crux Biomedical, Inc. | | | | 287 | |
| Transmedics, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Surgical Devices | Senior Debt Matures February 2014 Interest rate Prime + 9.70% or Floor rate of 12.95% | \$ 8,375 | 8,913 | 8,913 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 224 | 159 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| Total Transmedics, Inc. | | | | 10,237 | 10,172 |
| Total Surgical Devices (2.47%)* | | | | 10,524 | 10,172 |
| Glam Media, Inc. | Media/Content/ Info | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 482 | 283 |
| Total Glam Media, Inc. | | | | 482 | 283 |
| Everyday Health, Inc. | Media/Content/ Info | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 60 | 630 |
| | | Preferred Stock | | 1,000 | 1,310 |
| Total Everyday Health, Inc. | | | | 1,060 | 1,940 |
| Total Media/Content/Info (0.54%)* | | | | 1,542 | 2,223 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS—(Continued)
December 31, 2010
(dollars in thousands)

| Portfolio Company | Industry | Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾ | Principal Amount | Cost ⁽²⁾ | Value ⁽³⁾ |
|--|------------|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| BrightSource Energy, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ | Clean Tech | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures December 2011 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.0% | \$ 3,750 | \$ 3,265 | \$ 3,265 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures June 2012 Interest rate Prime + 9.55% or Floor rate of 12.80% | \$ 4,583 | 4,156 | 4,156 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 675 | 674 |
| Total BrightSource Energy, Inc. | | | | 8,096 | 8,095 |
| Calera, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures July 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.0% or Floor rate of 10.25% | \$ 3,621 | 3,109 | 3,109 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 513 | 527 |
| Total Calera, Inc. | | | | 3,622 | 3,636 |
| GreatPoint Energy, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.2% or Floor rate of 11.45% | \$ 5,000 | 4,322 | 4,322 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 548 | 627 |
| Total GreatPoint Energy, Inc. | | | | 4,870 | 4,949 |
| Propel Biofuels, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures September 2013 Interest rate 11.0% | \$ 2,118 | 1,880 | 1,850 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 211 | 192 |
| Total Propel Biofuels, Inc. | | | | 2,091 | 2,042 |
| Solexel, Inc. | Clean Tech | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50% | \$ 1,109 | 1,010 | 1,010 |
| | | Senior Debt | | | |
| | | Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50% | \$ 6,000 | 5,519 | 5,519 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 335 | 292 |
| Total Solexel, Inc. | | | | 6,864 | 6,821 |
| Trilliant, Inc. | Clean Tech | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 88 | 99 |
| | | Preferred Stock Warrants | | 72 | 80 |
| Total Trilliant, Inc. | | | | 160 | 179 |
| Total Clean Tech (6.24%)* | | | | <u>25,703</u> | <u>25,722</u> |
| Total Investments | | | | <u>\$480,405</u> | <u>\$472,032</u> |

* Value as a percent of net assets

(1) Preferred and common stock, warrants, and equity interests are generally non-income producing.

(2) Gross unrealized appreciation, gross unrealized depreciation, and net depreciation for federal income tax purposes totaled \$22,458, \$32,232 and \$9,774 respectively. The tax cost of investments is \$481,432.

(3) Except for warrants in ten publicly traded companies and common stock in five publicly traded companies, all investments are restricted at December 31, 2010 and were valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. No unrestricted securities of the same issuer are outstanding. The Company uses the Standard Industrial Code for classifying the industry grouping of its portfolio companies.

(4) Debt investments of this portfolio company have been pledged as collateral under the Wells Facility.

(5) Non-U.S. company or the company's principal place of business is outside the United States.

(6) Affiliate investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 5% but not more than 25% of the voting securities of the company.

(7) Control investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 25% of the voting securities of the company, or has greater than 50% representation on its board.

(8) Debt is on non-accrual status at December 31, 2010, and is therefore considered non-income producing.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | <u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u> | | <u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u> | |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | <u>2011</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>2010</u> |
| Investment income: | | | | |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Non Control/Non Affiliate investments | \$ 16,405 | \$ 13,356 | \$ 50,146 | \$ 35,649 |
| Affiliate investments | 5 | — | 9 | — |
| Control investments | — | 766 | 777 | 2,487 |
| Total interest income | <u>\$ 16,410</u> | <u>\$ 14,122</u> | <u>\$ 50,932</u> | <u>\$ 38,136</u> |
| Fees | | | | |
| Non Control/Non Affiliate investments | 2,264 | 1,524 | 7,639 | 4,285 |
| Control investments | 10 | — | 84 | 246 |
| Total fees | <u>2,274</u> | <u>1,524</u> | <u>7,723</u> | <u>4,531</u> |
| Total investment income | <u><u>18,684</u></u> | <u><u>15,646</u></u> | <u><u>58,655</u></u> | <u><u>42,667</u></u> |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Interest | 3,408 | 2,139 | 8,803 | 6,237 |
| Loan fees | 881 | 333 | 2,493 | 936 |
| General and administrative | 1,659 | 1,680 | 6,196 | 5,220 |
| Employee Compensation: | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 3,273 | 2,594 | 9,888 | 7,691 |
| Stock-based compensation | 870 | 752 | 2,518 | 1,959 |
| Total employee compensation | <u>4,143</u> | <u>3,346</u> | <u>12,406</u> | <u>9,650</u> |
| Total operating expenses | <u>10,091</u> | <u>7,498</u> | <u>29,898</u> | <u>22,043</u> |
| Net investment income | <u>8,593</u> | <u>8,148</u> | <u>28,757</u> | <u>20,624</u> |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments | (1,601) | (18,865) | 3,429 | (15,144) |
| Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments | (769) | 2,894 | (2,823) | (12,218) |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | <u>(2,370)</u> | <u>(15,971)</u> | <u>606</u> | <u>(27,362)</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | <u><u>\$ 6,223</u></u> | <u><u>\$ (7,823)</u></u> | <u><u>\$ 29,363</u></u> | <u><u>\$ (6,738)</u></u> |
| Net investment income before investment gains and losses per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | <u>\$ 0.20</u> | <u>\$ 0.23</u> | <u>\$ 0.67</u> | <u>\$ 0.57</u> |
| Change in net assets per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | <u>\$ 0.14</u> | <u>\$ (0.23)</u> | <u>\$ 0.67</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> |
| Diluted | <u>\$ 0.14</u> | <u>\$ (0.23)</u> | <u>\$ 0.67</u> | <u>\$ (0.20)</u> |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | <u>43,071</u> | <u>35,208</u> | <u>42,920</u> | <u>35,227</u> |
| Diluted | <u>43,337</u> | <u>35,208</u> | <u>43,251</u> | <u>35,227</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS
(unaudited)
(dollars and shares in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | Capital in excess of par value | Unrealized Appreciation on Investments | Accumulated Realized Gains(Losses) on Investments | Distributions in Excess of Investment Income | Provision for Income Taxes on Investment Gains | Net Assets |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|------------------|
| | Shares | Par Value | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 35,634 | \$ 35 | \$ 409,036 | \$ (10,029) | \$ (28,129) | \$ (4,056) | \$ (342) | \$366,515 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | — | — | — | (12,218) | (15,144) | 20,624 | — | (6,738) |
| Issuance of common stock | 413 | — | 1,856 | — | — | — | — | 1,856 |
| Issuance of common stock under restricted stock plan | 488 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | 1 |
| Acquisition of common stock under repurchase plan | (403) | — | (3,699) | — | — | — | — | (3,699) |
| Issuance of common stock as stock dividend | 140 | — | 1,332 | — | — | — | — | 1,332 |
| Retired shares from net issuance | (114) | — | (1,160) | — | — | — | — | (1,160) |
| Dividends declared | — | — | — | — | — | (21,582) | — | (21,582) |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | 2,024 | — | — | — | — | 2,024 |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | <u>36,158</u> | <u>\$ 36</u> | <u>\$ 409,389</u> | <u>\$ (22,247)</u> | <u>\$ (43,273)</u> | <u>\$ (5,014)</u> | <u>\$ (342)</u> | <u>\$338,549</u> |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 43,444 | \$ 43 | \$ 477,549 | \$ (8,038) | \$ (51,033) | \$ (5,647) | \$ (342) | \$412,532 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (2,823) | \$ 3,429 | \$ 28,757 | \$ — | \$ 29,363 |
| Issuance of common stock | 167 | — | 893 | — | — | — | — | 893 |
| Issuance of common stock under restricted stock plan | 253 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Issuance of common stock as stock dividend | 123 | — | 1,245 | — | — | — | — | 1,245 |
| Retired shares from net issuance | (79) | — | (887) | — | — | — | — | (887) |
| Issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes (see Note 4) | — | — | 5,190 | — | — | — | — | 5,190 |
| Dividends declared | — | — | — | — | — | (28,853) | — | (28,853) |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | 2,567 | — | — | — | — | 2,567 |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | <u>43,908</u> | <u>\$ 43</u> | <u>\$ 486,557</u> | <u>\$ (10,861)</u> | <u>\$ (47,604)</u> | <u>\$ (5,743)</u> | <u>\$ (342)</u> | <u>\$422,050</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 29,363 | \$ (6,738) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets resulting from operations to net cash used in and provided by | | |
| Purchase of investments | (337,631) | (242,360) |
| Principal payments received on investments | 223,193 | 180,754 |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | 17,053 | 7,295 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments | 2,823 | 12,218 |
| Net realized (gain) loss on investments | (3,429) | 15,144 |
| Accretion of paid-in-kind principal | (1,651) | (2,366) |
| Accretion of loan discounts | (5,752) | (3,026) |
| Accretion of loan discount on Convertible Senior Notes | 496 | — |
| Accretion of loan exit fees | — | (956) |
| Depreciation | 268 | 298 |
| Stock-based compensation | 480 | 553 |
| Amortization of restricted stock grants | 2,088 | 1,471 |
| Amortization of deferred loan origination revenue | (1,755) | (2,137) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Interest receivable | (147) | (347) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,279 | 541 |
| Accounts payable | (810) | (103) |
| Income tax payable | — | 8 |
| Accrued liabilities | (429) | (5,891) |
| Net cash used in by operating activities | (72,561) | (45,642) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of capital equipment | (122) | (218) |
| Other long-term assets | — | (137) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (122) | (355) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net | 6 | 1,856 |
| Stock repurchase program | — | (3,699) |
| Forfeiture of Stock due to Employee Option Exercises | — | (1,160) |
| Dividends paid | (27,607) | (20,250) |
| Borrowings of credit facilities | 43,750 | 29,400 |
| Repayments of credit facilities | (25,000) | — |
| Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes | 75,000 | — |
| Cash paid for issuance costs for Convertible Senior Notes | (3,110) | — |
| Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures | (1,061) | (1,967) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 61,978 | 4,180 |
| Net decrease in cash | (10,705) | (41,817) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 107,014 | 124,828 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | <u>\$ 96,309</u> | <u>\$ 83,011</u> |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. Description of Business and Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements Basis of Presentation

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (the “Company”) is a specialty finance company that provides debt and equity growth capital to technology-related companies at various stages of development, from seed and emerging growth to expansion and established stages of development, which include select publicly listed companies and select lower middle market technology companies. The Company sources its investments through its principal office located in Silicon Valley, as well as through its additional offices in Boston, Massachusetts, Boulder, Colorado and McLean, Virginia. The Company was incorporated under the General Corporation Law of the State of Maryland in December 2003.

The Company is an internally managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company (“BDC”) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”). From incorporation through December 31, 2005, the Company was taxed as a corporation under Subchapter C of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, (the “Code”). Effective January 1, 2006, the Company has elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company, or RIC, under the Code (see Note 5).

The Company formed Hercules Technology II, L.P. (“HT II”), which was licensed on September 27, 2006, and Hercules Technology III, L.P. (“HT III”), which was licensed on May 26, 2010 to operate as small business investment companies (“SBICs”) under the authority of the Small Business Administration (“SBA”). As SBICs, HT II and HT III are subject to a variety of regulations concerning, among other things, the size and nature of the companies in which they may invest and the structure of those investments. The Company also formed Hercules Technology SBIC Management, LLC (“HTM”), a limited liability company. HTM is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company is the sole limited partner of HT II and HT III and HTM is the general partner of HT II and HT III (see Note 4).

In aggregate, HT II and HT III hold approximately \$334.9 million in assets and accounted for approximately 35.5% of our total assets prior to consolidation at September 30, 2011.

The Company also established wholly owned subsidiaries, all of which are structured as Delaware corporations and limited liability companies, to hold portfolio companies organized as limited liability companies, or LLCs (or other forms of pass-through entities). The Company currently qualifies as a RIC for federal income tax purposes, which allows the Company to avoid paying corporate income taxes on any income or gains that the Company distributes to our stockholders. The purpose of establishing these entities is to satisfy the RIC tax requirement that at least 90% of the Company’s gross income for income tax purposes is investment income.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In accordance with Article 6 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Company does not consolidate portfolio company investments. The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information, and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting solely of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for the fair presentation of consolidated financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The current period’s results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. Therefore, the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the period ended December 31, 2010. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. Financial statements prepared on a U.S. GAAP basis require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions could change in the future as more information becomes known, which could impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

Certain prior period information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

2. Valuation of Investments

The Company's investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, (formerly known as SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements). At September 30, 2011, 83.7% of the Company's total assets represented investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. The Company's debt securities are primarily invested in equity sponsored technology-related companies including life science, clean technology and select lower middle market technology companies. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, the Company's investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged. As such, the Company values substantially all of its investments at fair value as determined in good faith pursuant to a consistent valuation policy and the Company's Board of Directors in accordance with the provisions of ASC 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the Company's investments determined in good faith by its Board may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

Our Board of Directors may from time to time engage an independent valuation firm to provide the Company with valuation assistance with respect to certain of the Company's portfolio investments on a quarterly basis. The Company intends to continue to engage an independent valuation firm to provide management with assistance regarding the Company's determination of the fair value of selected portfolio investments each quarter unless directed by the Board of Directors to cancel such valuation services. The scope of services rendered by an independent valuation firm is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Company's Board of Directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of the Company's investments in good faith.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available or when such market quotations are deemed not to represent fair value, the Company's Board of Directors has approved a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- (1) the Company's quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company or investment being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for the portfolio investment;
- (2) preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and discussed with the Company's investment committee;
- (3) the valuation committee of the Board of Directors reviews the preliminary valuation of the investment committee and that of the independent valuation firm and responds to the valuation recommendation of the independent valuation firm to reflect any comments, if any; and
- (4) the Board of Directors discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of, where applicable, the respective independent valuation firm and the valuation committee.

The Company adopted ASC 820 on January 1, 2008. ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. ASC 820 also enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements based on the level within the hierarchy of the information used in the valuation. ASC 820 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The Company has categorized all investments recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 820 based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by ASC 820 and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1—Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are equities listed in active markets.

Level 2—Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset in connection with market data at the measurement date and for the extent of the instrument's anticipated life. Fair valued assets that are generally included in this category are warrants held in a public company.

Level 3—Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset at the measurement date. It includes prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. Generally, assets carried at fair value and included in this category are the debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company.

Table of Contents

Debt Investments

The Company follows the guidance set forth in ASC 820 which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. The Company's debt securities are primarily invested in equity sponsored technology, life science and clean technology companies. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, the Company's investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged.

The Company applies a procedure that assumes a sale of investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under this process, the Company also evaluates the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as applies all of its historical fair value analysis. The Company uses pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date. The Company considers each portfolio company's credit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment as of the measurement date. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment's fair value as of the measurement date.

The Company's process includes, among other things, the underlying investment performance, the current portfolio company's financial condition and market changing events that impact valuation, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. If there is a significant deterioration of the credit quality of a debt investment, the Company may consider other factors to estimate fair value, including the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

The Company records unrealized depreciation on investments when it believes that an investment has decreased in value, including where collection of a loan is doubtful or if under the in exchange premise when the value of a debt security was to be less than amortized cost of the investment. Conversely, where appropriate, the Company records unrealized appreciation if it believes that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and, therefore, that its investment has also appreciated in value or if under the in exchange premise the value of a debt security were to be greater than amortized cost.

When originating a debt instrument, the Company generally receives warrants or other equity-related securities from the borrower. The Company determines the cost basis of the warrants or other equity-related securities received based upon their respective fair values on the date of receipt in proportion to the total fair value of the debt and warrants or other equity-related securities received. Any resulting discount on the loan from recordation of the warrant or other equity instruments is accreted into interest income over the life of the loan.

Equity-Related Securities and Warrants

Securities that are traded in the over-the-counter markets or on a stock exchange will be valued at the prevailing bid price at period end. We have a limited number of equity securities in public companies. In accordance with the 1940 Act, unrestricted publicly traded securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing market quote on the valuation date.

The Company estimates the fair value of warrants using a Black Scholes pricing model. At each reporting date, privately held warrant and equity related securities are valued based on an analysis of various factors including, but not limited to, the portfolio company's operating performance and financial condition and general market conditions, price to enterprise value or price to equity ratios, discounted cash flow, valuation comparisons to comparable public companies or other industry benchmarks. When an external event occurs, such as a purchase transaction, public offering, or subsequent equity sale, the pricing indicated by that external event is utilized to corroborate the Company's valuation of the warrant and equity related. The Company periodically reviews the valuation of its portfolio companies that have not been involved in a qualifying external event to determine if the enterprise value of the portfolio company may have increased or decreased since the last valuation measurement date.

Table of Contents

Investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized in the tables below based upon the lowest level of significant input to the valuations as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and as of December 31, 2010:

| (in thousands) Description | 9/30/2011 | Investments at Fair Value as of September 30, 2011 | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Senior secured debt | \$513,367 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 513,367 |
| Preferred stock | 28,928 | — | — | 28,928 |
| Common stock | 6,864 | 5,889 | — | 975 |
| Warrants | 27,318 | — | 3,023 | 24,295 |
| | <u>\$576,477</u> | <u>\$ 5,889</u> | <u>\$ 3,023</u> | <u>\$ 567,565</u> |

| (in thousands) Description | 12/31/2010 | Investments at Fair Value as of December 31, 2010 | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Senior secured debt | \$394,198 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 394,198 |
| Subordinated debt | 7,420 | — | — | 7,420 |
| Preferred stock | 24,607 | — | — | 24,607 |
| Common stock | 22,117 | 4,943 | 16,144 | 1,030 |
| Warrants | 23,690 | — | 6,289 | 17,401 |
| | <u>\$472,032</u> | <u>\$ 4,943</u> | <u>\$ 22,433</u> | <u>\$ 444,656</u> |

The table below presents reconciliation for all financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

| (in thousands) | Balance, January 1, 2011 | Net Realized Gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾ | Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation ⁽²⁾ | Purchases | Sales | Repayments | Exit | Gross Transfers into Level 3 ⁽³⁾ | Gross Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽³⁾ | Balances, September 30, 2011 |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|---|---|------------------------------|
| Senior Debt | \$ 394,198 | \$ (4,302) | \$ 3,404 | \$ 362,866 | \$ — | \$(239,299) | \$ — | \$ — | \$(3,500) | \$ 513,367 |
| Subordinated Debt | 7,420 | — | — | — | — | (7,420) | — | — | — | — |
| Preferred Stock | 24,607 | (941) | 193 | 1,569 | — | — | — | 3,500 | — | 28,928 |
| Common Stock | 1,030 | — | (55) | — | — | — | — | — | — | 975 |
| Warrants | 17,401 | (978) | 5,034 | 4,505 | — | — | (402) | — | (1,265) | 24,295 |
| Total | <u>\$ 444,656</u> | <u>\$ (6,221)</u> | <u>\$ 8,576</u> | <u>\$ 368,940</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$(246,719)</u> | <u>\$(402)</u> | <u>\$ 3,500</u> | <u>\$(4,765)</u> | <u>\$ 567,565</u> |

| (in thousands) | Balance, January 1, 2010 | Net Realized Gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾ | Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation ⁽²⁾ | Purchases, sales, and exit, net | Transfer in & out of Level 3 | Balances, December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Senior Debt | \$319,129 | \$(12,835) | \$ (3,076) | \$ 98,058 | \$(7,078) | \$ 394,198 |
| Subordinated Debt | — | — | — | 7,420 | — | 7,420 |
| Senior Debt-Second Lien | 6,005 | — | — | (6,005) | — | — |
| Preferred Stock | 22,875 | (1,250) | (995) | 2,603 | 1,374 | 24,607 |
| Common Stock | 1,773 | (15,037) | (743) | 15,037 | — | 1,030 |
| Warrants | 11,076 | (1,225) | 568 | 8,650 | (1,668) | 17,401 |
| Total | <u>\$360,858</u> | <u>\$(30,347)</u> | <u>\$ (4,246)</u> | <u>\$ 125,763</u> | <u>\$ (7,372)</u> | <u>\$ 444,656</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Includes net realized gains (losses) recorded as realized gains or losses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

⁽²⁾ Included in change in net unrealized appreciation or depreciation in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

⁽³⁾ Transfers in/out of Level 3 relate to the conversion of MaxVision Holding, LLC. debt to equity during the second quarter and the initial public offering of Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Table of Contents

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, approximately \$3.4 million and \$3.8 million in unrealized appreciation was recorded for debt and warrant Level 3 investments, respectively, relating to assets still held at the reporting date. For the same period, approximately \$747,000 in unrealized depreciation was recorded for equity Level 3 investments relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately \$3.1 million, \$3.0 million and \$461,000 in unrealized depreciation was recorded for debt, equity and warrant Level 3 investments, respectively, relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

As required by the 1940 Act, the Company classifies its investments by level of control. "Control Investments" are defined in the 1940 Act as investments in those companies that the Company is deemed to "Control". Generally, under the 1940 Act, the Company is deemed to "Control" a company in which it has invested if it owns 25% or more of the voting securities of such company or has greater than 50% representation on its board. "Affiliate Investments" are investments in those companies that are "Affiliated Companies" of the Company, as defined in the 1940 Act, which are not Control Investments. The Company is deemed to be an "Affiliate" of a company in which it has invested if it owns 5% or more but less than 25% of the voting securities of such company. "Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments" are investments that are neither Control Investments nor Affiliate Investments.

The following table summarizes our realized and unrealized gain and loss and changes in our unrealized appreciation and depreciation on control and affiliate investments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | Three months ended September 30, 2011 | | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| Portfolio Company | Type | Fair Value at September 30, 2011 | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain /Loss | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Reversal of Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain/ (Loss) |
| MaxVision Holding, LLC. | Control | \$ 2,983 | \$ 10 | \$ 14 | \$ — | \$ 861 | \$ (3,546) | — | \$ — |
| E-Band Communications, Corp. | Non-Controlled Affiliate | — | 5 | (53) | — | 9 | (3,425) | — | — |
| Total | | <u>\$ 2,983</u> | <u>\$ 15</u> | <u>\$ (39)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 870</u> | <u>\$ (6,971)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> |

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | Three months ended September 30, 2010 | | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2010 | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| Portfolio Company | Type | Fair Value at September 30, 2011 | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain /Loss | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Reversal of Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain /Loss |
| InfoLogix, Inc. | Control | \$ 33,935 | \$ 796 | \$ (4,266) | \$ — | \$ 2,448 | \$ (1,419) | \$ 128 | \$ 2,491 |
| E-Band Communications, Corp. | Non-Controlled Affiliate | 2,846 | — | (371) | — | — | 572 | — | — |
| Total | | <u>\$ 36,781</u> | <u>\$ 796</u> | <u>\$ (4,637)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 2,448</u> | <u>\$ (847)</u> | <u>\$ 128</u> | <u>\$ 2,491</u> |

The Company's investment in InfoLogix, Inc., a company that was a Control Investment as of December 31, 2010, was sold to Stanley Black & Decker (NYSE:SWK) in January 2011. Approximately \$8.3 million of realized gains and \$8.4 million of net change in unrealized depreciation was recognized on this control investment during the three-month period ended March 31, 2011.

A summary of the composition of the Company's investment portfolio as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 at fair value is shown as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| Senior secured debt with warrants | \$ 414,723 | 71.9% | \$ 357,963 | 75.8% |
| Senior secured debt | 125,962 | 21.9% | 59,251 | 12.6% |
| Preferred stock | 28,928 | 5.0% | 26,813 | 5.7% |
| Subordinated Debt | — | 0.0% | 8,094 | 1.7% |
| Common Stock | 6,864 | 1.2% | 19,911 | 4.2% |
| | <u>\$ 576,477</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 472,032</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

Table of Contents

A summary of the Company's investment portfolio, at value, by geographic location as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and as of December 31, 2010 is shown as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| United States | \$ 562,296 | 97.5% | \$ 438,585 | 92.9% |
| Canada | 808 | 0.1% | 20,876 | 4.4% |
| England | 9,082 | 1.6% | 10,653 | 2.3% |
| Ireland | 3,893 | 0.7% | — | 0.0% |
| Israel | 398 | 0.1% | 1,918 | 0.4% |
| | <u>\$ 576,477</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 472,032</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

The following table shows the fair value of our portfolio by industry sector at September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| Drug Discovery | \$ 81,264 | 14.1% | \$ 52,777 | 11.2% |
| Drug Delivery | 66,734 | 11.6% | 35,250 | 7.5% |
| Internet Consumer & Business Services | 65,975 | 11.4% | 7,255 | 1.5% |
| Specialty Pharmaceuticals | 61,603 | 10.7% | 63,607 | 13.5% |
| Clean Tech | 59,793 | 10.4% | 25,722 | 5.4% |
| Communications & Networking | 56,119 | 9.7% | 65,098 | 13.8% |
| Information Services | 38,812 | 6.7% | 10,857 | 2.3% |
| Therapeutic | 32,562 | 5.7% | 25,300 | 5.4% |
| Media/Content/Info | 30,852 | 5.4% | 2,223 | 0.5% |
| Biotechnology Tools | 23,796 | 4.1% | 5,987 | 1.3% |
| Software | 22,094 | 3.8% | 96,508 | 20.4% |
| Diagnostic | 14,889 | 2.6% | 14,911 | 3.2% |
| Surgical Devices | 7,683 | 1.3% | 10,172 | 2.1% |
| Semiconductors | 6,916 | 1.2% | 3,227 | 0.7% |
| Consumer & Business Products | 4,345 | 0.8% | 45,316 | 9.6% |
| Electronics & Computer Hardware | 3,040 | 0.5% | 7,819 | 1.6% |
| Energy | — | 0.0% | 3 | 0.0% |
| | <u>\$ 576,477</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 472,032</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

During the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 the Company made investments in debt securities, including restructured loans, totaling approximately \$146.1 million and \$351.3 million, respectively. During the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 the Company funded equity investments of approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. During the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, the Company made investments in debt securities, including restructured loans, totaling approximately \$55.7 million and \$286.0 million, respectively, and funded equity investments, including restructured loans, of approximately \$187,000 and \$18.0 million for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010.

During the three-months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recognized no realized gains or losses and for the nine-months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized net realized gains of approximately \$10.1 million from the sale of common stock in its public portfolio companies. During the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recognized realized losses of approximately \$1.6 million and \$6.7 million from equity, loan, and warrant investments in portfolio companies that have been liquidated. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized net realized gains of approximately \$3.6 million from the sale of common stock in public portfolio companies, approximately \$465,000 from mergers of private portfolio companies and realized losses of approximately \$19.2 million from equity and warrant investments in portfolio companies that have been liquidated. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 we recognized realized losses of approximately \$18.9 million from equity and loan investments in portfolio companies that have been liquidated.

Table of Contents

Loan origination and commitment fees received in full at the inception of a loan are deferred and amortized into fee income as an enhancement to the related loan's yield over the contractual life of the loan. Loan exit fees to be paid at the termination of the loan are accreted into interest income over the contractual life of the loan. The Company had approximately \$9.8 million and \$6.6 million of unamortized fees at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and approximately \$7.2 million and \$5.1 million in exit fees receivable at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The Company has loans in its portfolio that contain a payment-in-kind ("PIK") provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain the Company's status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though the Company has not yet collected the cash. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments. The Company recorded approximately \$285,000 and \$1.4 million in PIK income in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded approximately \$552,000 and \$1.7 million in PIK income in the same periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

In certain investment transactions, the Company may provide advisory services. For services that are separately identifiable and external evidence exists to substantiate fair value, income is recognized as earned, which is generally when the investment transaction closes. The Company had no income from advisory services in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

In some cases, the Company collateralizes its investments by obtaining a first priority security interest in a portfolio company's assets, which may include their intellectual property. In other cases, the Company may obtain a negative pledge covering a company's intellectual property. At September 30, 2011, approximately 60.9% of the Company's portfolio company loans were secured by a first priority security in all of the assets of the portfolio company, 38.3% of the loans were to portfolio companies that were prohibited from pledging or encumbering their intellectual property and 0.8% of portfolio company loans had an equipment only lien.

3. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates are made at discrete points in time based on relevant information. These estimates may be subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. The Company believes that the carrying amounts of its financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate the fair values of such items due to the short maturity of such instruments. The Convertible Senior Notes and the SBIC debentures as sources of liquidity remain a strategic advantage due to their flexible structure, long-term duration, and low fixed interest rates. Based on market quotations on or around September 30, 2011 the Convertible Senior Notes were trading for \$0.875 per dollar at par value. Calculated based on the net present value of payments over the term of the notes using estimated market rates for similar notes and remaining terms, the fair value of its SBIC debentures would be approximately \$211.6 million, compared to the carrying amount of \$188.8 million as of September 30, 2011.

See the accompanying Consolidated Schedule of Investments for the fair value of the Company's investments. The methodology for the determination of the fair value of the Company's investments is discussed in Note 1.

4. Borrowings

Long-term SBA Debentures

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and regulatory capital. Under the Small Business Investment Company Act and current SBA policy applicable to SBICs, a SBIC can have outstanding at any time SBA guaranteed debentures up to twice the amount of its regulatory capital. As of September 30, 2011, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures issued by a single SBIC is \$150.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. The Company's net investment of \$75.0 million in HT II as of September 30, 2011 fully funds the required regulatory capital for HT II. HT II has a total of \$125.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and has paid the SBA commitment fees of approximately \$1.5 million. As of September 30, 2011, the Company held investments in HT II in 84 companies with a fair value of approximately \$180.8 million, accounting for approximately 31.4% of the Company's total portfolio.

On May 26, 2010, HT III received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With the Company's net investment of \$50.0 million in HT III as of September 30, 2011, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$100.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$63.75 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, HT III has paid commitment fees of approximately \$750,000. As of September 30, 2011, the Company held investments in HT III in 20 companies with a fair value of approximately \$92.4 million, accounting for approximately 16.0% of the Company's total portfolio. See Note 12.

Table of Contents

There is no assurance that HT II or HT III will be able to draw to the maximum limit available under the SBIC program.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses include businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18 million and have average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, SBICs must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to “smaller” concerns as defined by the SBA. A smaller concern is one that has a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and has average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross sales. According to SBA regulations, SBICs may make long-term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III, the Company plans to provide long-term loans to qualifying small businesses, and in connection therewith, make equity investments.

HT II and HT III are periodically examined and audited by the SBA’s staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II’s or HT III’s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to the Company if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect the Company because HT II and III are the Company’s wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC’s leverage as of September 30, 2011 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations. As of September 30, 2011, HT III could draw up to \$36.25 million of additional leverage from SBA.

The rates of borrowings under various draws from the SBA beginning in April 2007 are set semiannually in March and September and range from 2.88% to 5.73%. Interest payments on SBA debentures are payable semi-annually. There are no principal payments required on these issues prior to maturity and no prepayment penalties. Debentures under the SBA generally mature ten years after being borrowed. Based on the initial draw down date of April 2007, the initial maturity of SBA debentures will occur in April 2017. In addition, the SBA charges a fee that is set annually, depending on the Federal fiscal year the leverage commitment was delegated by the SBA, regardless of the date that the leverage was drawn by the SBIC. The annual fees related to HT II debentures that pooled on September 22, 2010 were 0.406% and 0.285%, depending upon the year the underlying commitment was closed in. The annual fee related to HT III debentures that pooled on September 21, 2011 was 0.285%. The annual fees on other debentures have been set at 0.906%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 for HT II was approximately \$125.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 5.0%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 for HT III was approximately \$63.75 million with an average interest rate of approximately 3.5%.

In aggregate, HT II and HT III hold approximately \$334.9 million in assets, and accounted for approximately 35.5% of our total assets prior to consolidation at September 30, 2011.

The Company reported the following SBA debentures outstanding on its Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010:

| (in thousands) Issuance/Pooling Date | Maturity Date | Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SBA Debentures: | | | | |
| September 26, 2007 | September 1, 2017 | 6.43% | \$ 12,000 | \$ 12,000 |
| March 26, 2008 | March 1, 2018 | 6.38% | \$ 58,050 | \$ 58,050 |
| September 24, 2008 | September 1, 2018 | 6.63% | \$ 13,750 | \$ 38,750 |
| March 25, 2009 | March 1, 2019 | 5.53% | \$ 18,400 | \$ 18,400 |
| September 23, 2009 | September 1, 2019 | 4.64% | \$ 3,400 | \$ 3,400 |
| September 22, 2010 | September 1, 2020 | 3.62% | \$ 6,500 | \$ 6,500 |
| September 22, 2010 | September 1, 2020 | 3.50% | \$ 22,900 | \$ 32,900 |
| March 29, 2011 | March 1, 2021 | 4.37% | \$ 28,750 | \$ — |
| September 21, 2011 | September 1, 2021 | 3.16% | \$ 25,000 | \$ — |
| Total SBA Debentures | | | \$ 188,750 | \$ 170,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Interest rate includes annual charge

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010, the Company had the following borrowing capacity and outstanding borrowings:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ |
| Union Bank Facility | \$ 20,000 | \$ — | \$ 20,000 | \$ — |
| Wells Facility | 75,000 | — | 50,000 | — |
| Convertible Senior Notes ⁽²⁾ | 75,000 | 70,082 | — | — |
| SBA Debenture ⁽³⁾ | 225,000 | 188,750 | 225,000 | 170,000 |
| Total | <u>\$395,000</u> | <u>\$258,832</u> | <u>\$295,000</u> | <u>\$ 170,000</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Except for the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below), all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below) less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$4,918 at September 30, 2011.

⁽³⁾ The Company has the ability to borrow an additional \$36.3 million subject to SBA approval and compliance with SBIC regulations for which they have received commitment.

Wells Facility

On August 25, 2008, the Company, through a special purpose wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Hercules Funding II, LLC, entered into a two-year revolving senior secured credit facility with an optional one-year extension with total commitments of \$50.0 million, with Wells Fargo Capital Finance as a lender and as an arranger and administrative agent (the "Wells Facility"). The Wells Facility has the capacity to increase to \$300.0 million if additional lenders are added to the syndicate. The Wells Facility expired in August 2011. Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.25% or PRIME plus 2.0%, but not less than 5.0%. The Wells Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Wells Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. The Company has paid a total of approximately \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which has been amortized through August 2011.

The Wells Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth of \$250.0 million, contingent upon the Company's total commitments under all lines of credit not exceeding \$250.0 million. To the extent our total commitment exceeds \$250.0 million, the minimum tangible net worth covenant will increase on a pro rata basis commensurate with our net worth on a dollar for dollar basis. In addition, the tangible net worth covenant will increase by 90 cents on the dollar for every dollar of equity capital subsequently raised by the Company. The Wells Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2011.

On June 20, 2011, we renewed the Wells Facility. Under this three-year senior secured facility, Wells Fargo Capital Finance has made commitments of \$75.0 million. Borrowings under the facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50%, with a floor of 5.00% and an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans. The facility will be secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Wells Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding Subordinated Indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the cumulative amount of equity raised after March 31, 2011. The Wells Facility requires the monthly payment of a non-use fee of 0.3% for each payment date on or before September 1, 2011. The monthly payment of a non-use fee thereafter shall depend on the average balance that was outstanding on a scale between 0.0% and 0.75%. From September 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011, this non-use fee was 0.75%. The facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Wells Fargo Capital Finance and subject to other customary conditions. This new arrangement replaced the previous \$300.0 million Wells Facility under which Wells Fargo Capital Finance had committed \$50.0 million in capital. On June 20, 2011, we paid an additional \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which is being amortized through August 2014. There was no outstanding debt under the Wells Facility at September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Union Bank Facility

On February 10, 2010, the Company entered a \$20.0 million one-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Union Bank (the “Union Bank Facility”). Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%, an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans, and secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Union Bank Facility required the payment of a non-use fee of 0.25% annually. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in the Company’s portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50.0% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. In February 2011, the maturity date of the facility was extended from May 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011. Union Bank Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2011.

On June 7, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility which extended the borrowing termination date to September 30, 2011. The amendment to the Union Bank Facility also amends the maturity date of Union Bank’s \$20.0 million commitment to mean the earliest of: (a) December 31, 2011; (b) the date on which Union Bank’s obligation to make loans is terminated and the obligations are declared to be due and payable or the commitment is terminated; or (c) the date of prepayment in full by the Company. There was no outstanding debt under the Union Bank Facility at September 30, 2011.

On November 2, 2011, the Company renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding Subordinated Indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of common stock after March 31, 2011. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, approximately three years from the date of issuance, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions.

Citibank Credit Facility

The Company, through Hercules Funding Trust I, an affiliated statutory trust, had a securitized credit facility (the “Citibank Credit Facility”) with Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid off all remaining principal and interest owed under the Citibank Credit Facility. Citigroup has an equity participation right through a warrant participation agreement on the pool of loans and warrants collateralized under the Citibank Credit Facility. Pursuant to the warrant participation agreement, the Company granted to Citigroup a 10% participation in all warrants held as collateral. However, no additional warrants were included in collateral subsequent to the facility amendment on May 2, 2007. As a result, Citigroup is entitled to 10% of the realized gains on the warrants until the realized gains paid to Citigroup pursuant to the agreement equal \$3,750,000 (the “Maximum Participation Limit”). The obligations under the warrant participation agreement continue even after the Citibank Credit Facility was terminated until the Maximum Participation Limit has been reached. The value of their participation right on unrealized gains in the related equity investments was approximately \$727,000 as of September 30, 2011 and is included in accrued liabilities. There can be no assurances that the unrealized appreciation of the warrants will not be higher or lower in future periods due to fluctuations in the value of the warrants. Since inception of the agreement, the Company has paid Citigroup approximately \$1.1 million under the warrant participation agreement thereby reducing its realized gains by this amount. The Company will continue to pay Citigroup under the warrant participation agreement until the Maximum Participation Limit is reached or the warrants expire.

Convertible Senior Notes

In April 2011, the Company issued \$75.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.00% convertible senior notes (the “Convertible Senior Notes”) due 2016.

The Convertible Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2016 (the “Maturity Date”), unless previously converted or repurchased in accordance with their terms. The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.00% per year payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2011. The Convertible Senior Notes are the Company’s senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to the Company’s existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Senior Notes; equal in right of payment to the Company’s existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of the Company’s secured indebtedness (including unsecured indebtedness that the Company later secures) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by the Company’s subsidiaries, financing vehicles or similar facilities.

Prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding October 15, 2015, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes only under certain circumstances set forth in the Indenture. On or after October 15, 2015 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the Maturity Date, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes at any time. Upon conversion, the Company will pay or deliver, as the case may be, at its election, cash, shares of its common stock or a combination of cash and shares of its common stock. The conversion rate will initially be 84.0972 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$11.89 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, if certain corporate events occur prior to the Maturity Date, the conversion rate will be increased for converting holders.

Table of Contents

The Company may not redeem the Convertible Senior Notes prior to maturity. No sinking fund is provided for the Convertible Senior Notes. In addition, if certain corporate events occur, holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may require the Company to repurchase for cash all or part of their Convertible Senior Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest through, but excluding, the required repurchase date.

The Convertible Senior Notes are accounted for in accordance with ASC 470-20 (previously FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)"). In accounting for the Convertible Senior Notes, we estimated at the time of issuance that the values of the debt and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes were approximately 92.8% and 7.2%, respectively. The original issue discount of 7.2% attributable to the conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes was recorded in "capital in excess of par value" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. As a result, the Company records interest expense comprised of both stated interest expense as well as accretion of the original issue discount. Additionally, the issuance costs associated with the Convertible Senior Notes were allocated to the debt and equity components in proportion to the allocation of the proceeds and accounted for as debt issuance costs and equity issuance costs, respectively. At the time of issuance, the debt issuance costs and equity issuance costs were approximately \$2.9 million and \$224,000, respectively. At the time of issuance and as of September 30, 2011, the equity component, net of issuance costs, as recorded in the "capital in excess of par value" in the balance sheet was approximately \$4.9 million.

As of September 30, 2011, the components of the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

| (in thousands) | As of September 30, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Principal amount of debt | \$ 75,000 |
| Original issue discount, net of accretion | (4,918) |
| Carrying value of debt | <u>\$ 70,082</u> |

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the components of interest expense and cash paid for interest expense for the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Stated interest expense | \$ 1,125 | \$ 2,062 |
| Accretion of original issue discount | 270 | 496 |
| Amortization of debt issuance cost | 144 | 264 |
| Total interest expense | <u>\$ 1,539</u> | <u>\$ 2,822</u> |
| Cash paid for interest expense | \$ — | \$ — |

The estimated effective interest rate of the debt component of the Convertible Senior Notes, equal to the stated interest of 6.0% plus the accretion of the original issue discount, was approximately 7.9% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

5. Income taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and intends to continue operate so as to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and, as such, will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders.

To qualify as a RIC, the Company is required to meet certain income and asset diversification tests in addition to distributing at least 90% of its investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. The amount to be paid out as a dividend is determined by the Board of Directors each quarter and is based upon the annual earnings estimated by the management of the Company. To the extent that the Company's earnings fall below the amount of dividends declared, however, a portion of the total amount of the Company's dividends for the fiscal year may be deemed a return of capital for tax purposes to the Company's stockholders.

Taxable income includes the Company's taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized.

Table of Contents

Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company declared a distribution of \$0.22 per share. The determination of the tax attributes of the Company's distributions is made annually as of the end of the Company's fiscal year based upon its taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. As a result, a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the actual tax attributes of the Company's distributions for a full year. If the Company had determined the tax attributes of its distributions year-to-date as of September 30, 2011, approximately 97% would be from ordinary income and spill over earnings from 2010 and approximately 3% would be a return of capital. However there can be no certainty to shareholders that this determination is representative of what the tax attributes of its 2011 distributions to shareholders will actually be.

As a RIC, the Company will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless the Company distributes in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the 1-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income realized, but not distributed, in the preceding year (the "Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements"). The Company will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which the Company is required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, the Company may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. To the extent we choose to carry over taxable income into the next tax year, dividends declared and paid by us in a year may differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital.

Taxable income for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011 was approximately \$27.1 million or \$0.63 per share. Taxable net realized gains for the same period were \$8.6 million or approximately \$0.20 per share. Taxable income for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was approximately \$19.3 million or \$0.55 per share. Taxable net realized losses for the same period were approximately \$17.8 million or approximately \$0.51 loss per share.

6. Shareholders' Equity

At September 30, 2011, the Company was authorized to issue 100 million shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote.

On August 2, 2011, the Company approved the extension of the stock repurchase plan as previously approved on February 8, 2010 under the same terms and conditions that allows the Company to repurchase up to \$35.0 million of its common stock set to expire on February 11, 2011 for an additional twelve month period with a new expiration date of February 26, 2012. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any common stock.

The Company has issued stock options for common stock subject to future issuance, of which 4,366,535 and 4,729,849 were outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

7. Equity Incentive Plan

The Company and its stockholders have authorized and adopted the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan") for purposes of attracting and retaining the services of its executive officers and key employees. Under the 2004 Plan, the Company is authorized to issue 7,000,000 shares of common stock. On June 1, 2011, stockholders approved an increase of 1,000,000 shares, authorizing the Company to issue 8,000,000 shares of common stock under the 2004 Plan. Unless terminated earlier by the Company's Board of Directors, the 2004 Plan will terminate on June 9, 2014, and no additional awards may be made under the 2004 Plan after that date.

The Company and its stockholders have authorized and adopted the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan (the "2006 Plan" and, together with the 2004 Plan, the "Plans") for purposes of attracting and retaining the services of its Board of Directors. Under the 2006 Plan, the Company is authorized to issue 1,000,000 shares of common stock. Unless terminated earlier by the Company's Board of Directors, the 2006 Plan will terminate on May 29, 2016 and no additional awards may be made under the 2006 Plan after that date. The Company filed an exemptive relief request with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") to allow options to be issued under the 2006 Plan which was approved on October 10, 2007.

Table of Contents

On June 21, 2007, the shareholders approved amendments to the 2004 Plan and the 2006 Plan allowing for the grant of restricted stock. The amended Plans limit the combined maximum amount of restricted stock that may be issued under both Plans to 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company's stock on the effective date of the Plans plus 10% of the number of shares of stock issued or delivered by Hercules during the terms of the Plans. The amendments further specify that no one person shall be granted awards of restricted stock relating to more than 25% of the shares available for issuance under the 2004 Plan. Further, the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all of the Company's outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 25% of its outstanding voting securities, except that if the amount of voting securities that would result from such exercise of all of the Company's outstanding warrants, options and rights issued to Hercules directors, officers and employees, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, would exceed 15% of the Company's outstanding voting securities, then the total amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 20% of our outstanding voting securities.

In conjunction with the amendment and in accordance with the exemptive order, on June 21, 2007 the Company made an automatic grant of shares of restricted common stock to Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward, the independent members of its Board of Directors, in the amounts of 1,667, 1,667 and 3,334 shares, respectively. In May 2008, the Company issued restricted shares to Messrs. Badavas and Chow in the amount of 5,000 shares each. In June 2009, the Company issued 5,000 restricted stock shares to Mr. Woodward. The shares were issued pursuant to the 2006 Plan and vest 33% on an annual basis from the date of grant and deferred compensation cost will be recognized ratably over the three year vesting period.

A summary of common stock options activity under the Company's 2006 and 2004 Plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited) is as follows:

| | For Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | Common Stock Options | Common Stock Options |
| Outstanding at Beginning of Period | 4,729,849 | 4,924,405 |
| Granted | 526,700 | 368,250 |
| Exercised | (156,994) | (413,337) |
| Cancelled | (733,020) | (222,923) |
| Outstanding at End of Period | 4,366,535 | 4,656,395 |
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.28 |

Options generally vest 33% one year after the date of grant and ratably over the succeeding 24 months. All options may be exercised for a period ending seven years after the date of grant. At September 30, 2011, options for approximately 3.5 million shares were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of approximately \$11.92 per share with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 2.45 years.

The Company determined that the fair value of options granted under the 2006 and 2004 Plans during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$1.2 million and \$652,000 million respectively. During the three month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, approximately \$126,000 and \$182,000 of share-based cost due to stock option grants was expensed, respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, approximately \$480,000 and \$538,000 of share-based cost due to stock option grants was expensed, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.23 years. The fair value of options granted is based upon a Black Scholes option pricing model using the assumptions in the following table for each of the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited):

| | For Nine Months Ended September | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Expected Volatility | 46.39% | 46.39% |
| Expected Dividends | 10% | 10% |
| Expected term (in years) | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Risk-free rate | 0.79% - 1.98% | 1.10% - 2.51% |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes stock options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2011 (unaudited):

| Range of exercise prices | Options outstanding | | | | Options exercisable | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of shares | Weighted average remaining contractual life | Aggregate intrinsic value | Weighted average exercise price | Number of shares | Weighted average remaining contractual life | Aggregate intrinsic value | Weighted average exercise price |
| \$4.21 - \$6.74 | 488,559 | 4.46 | \$ 2,105 | \$ 4.21 | 323,411 | \$ 4.46 | \$ 1,393 | \$ 4.21 |
| \$8.49 - \$12.84 | 2,232,726 | 4.16 | 1 | 11.43 | 1,516,925 | 3.08 | — | 11.90 |
| \$13.00 - \$15.00 | 1,645,250 | 1.47 | — | 13.46 | 1,645,250 | 1.47 | — | 13.46 |
| Total | 4,366,535 | 3.18 | \$ 2,106 | \$ 11.39 | 3,485,586 | \$ 2.45 | \$ 1,393 | \$ 11.92 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the Company granted approximately 306,600 and 491,500 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Plans. Each restricted stock award granted in 2011 and 2010 is subject to lapse as to 25% of the award one year after the date of grant and ratably over the succeeding 36 months subject to a four year forfeiture schedule. The restricted stock awarded in 2008 vests 25% annually on the anniversary date of the award. Share based compensation cost will be recognized ratably over the four year vesting period. No restricted stock was granted pursuant to the 2004 Plan prior to 2008. The Company determined that the fair value of restricted stock granted under the 2006 and 2004 Plans during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, was approximately \$3.4 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. During the three month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company expensed approximately \$762,000 and \$582,000 of compensation expense related to restricted stock, respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company expensed approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.5 million of compensation expense related to restricted stock, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$6.8 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.77 years.

The SEC, through an exemptive order granted on June 22, 2010, approved amendments to the Plans which allow participants to elect to have the Company withhold shares of the Company's common stock to pay for the exercise price and applicable taxes with respect to an option exercise ("net issuance exercise"). The exemptive order also permits the holders of restricted stock to elect to have the Company withhold shares of Hercules stock to pay the applicable taxes due on restricted stock at the time of vesting. Each individual can make, and does not preclude the participant from electing to make, a cash payment at the time of option exercise or to pay taxes on restricted stock.

8. Earnings Per Share

In 2008, the FASB issued ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share* formerly known as FASB Staff Position (FSP) EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. Under this standard, unvested awards of share-based payments with non-forfeitable rights to receive dividends or dividend equivalents, such as the Company's restricted stock issued under the Plans, are considered participating securities for purposes of calculating change in net assets per share. Under the two-class method a portion of net increase in net assets resulting from operations is allocated to these participating securities and therefore is excluded from the calculation of change in net assets per share allocated to common stock, as shown in the table below. The standard was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted this standard beginning with financial statements ended March 31, 2009. The adoption of this standard did not result in a change to the previously reported basic change in net assets per share and diluted change in net assets per share.

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Numerator | | | | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 6,223 | \$ (7,823) | \$ 29,363 | \$ (6,738) |
| Less: Dividends declared-common and restricted shares | (9,648) | (7,197) | (28,853) | (21,582) |
| Undistributed earnings | (3,425) | (15,020) | 510 | (28,320) |
| Undistributed earnings-common shares | (3,425) | (15,020) | 510 | (28,320) |
| Add: Dividend declared-common shares | 9,473 | 7,034 | 28,329 | 21,152 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted change in net assets per common share | \$ 6,048 | \$ (7,986) | \$ 28,839 | \$ (7,168) |
| Denominator | | | | |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 43,071 | 35,208 | 42,920 | 35,227 |
| Common shares issuable | 265 | — | 331 | — |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding assuming dilution | 43,336 | 35,208 | 43,251 | 35,227 |
| Change in net assets per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.67 | \$ (0.20) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.14 | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.67 | \$ (0.20) |

Table of Contents

The calculation of change in net assets per common share—assuming dilution, excludes all anti-dilutive shares. For the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the number of anti-dilutive shares, as calculated based on the weighted average closing price of the Company's common stock for the periods, were approximately 2.5 million and 3.9 million shares, respectively.

9. Financial Highlights

Following is a schedule of financial highlights for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Per share data: | | |
| Net asset value at beginning of period | \$ 9.50 | \$ 10.29 |
| Net investment income | 0.67 | 0.59 |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments | 0.08 | (0.43) |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments | (0.07) | (0.35) |
| Total from investment operations | 0.68 | (0.19) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in net assets from capital share transactions | 0.04 | (0.19) |
| Distributions | (0.67) | (0.61) |
| Stock-based compensation expense included in investment income ⁽¹⁾ | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Net asset value at end of period | <u>\$ 9.61</u> | <u>\$ 9.36</u> |
| Ratios and supplemental data: | | |
| Per share market value at end of period | \$ 8.52 | \$ 10.11 |
| Total return ⁽²⁾ | (-12.62%) | (-0.65%) |
| Shares outstanding at end of period | 43,908 | 36,158 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 42,920 | 35,208 |
| Net assets at end of period | \$422,050 | \$338,549 |
| Ratio of operating expense to average net assets | 9.53% | 7.01% |
| Ratio of net investment income before provision for income tax expense and investment gains and losses to average net assets | 9.17% | 7.62% |
| Average debt outstanding | \$220,664 | \$223,766 |
| Weighted average debt per common share | \$ 5.14 | \$ 6.36 |
| Portfolio turnover | 4.17% | 1.72% |

⁽¹⁾ Stock option expense is a non-cash expense that has no effect on net asset value. Pursuant to ASC 718, net investment loss includes the expense associated with the granting of stock options which is offset by a corresponding increase in paid-in capital. The total return equals the change in the ending market value over the beginning of period price per share plus dividends paid per share during the period, divided by the beginning price.

⁽²⁾ The total return equals the increase or decrease of ending market value over beginning market value, plus distributions, dividend by the beginning market value, assuming dividend reinvestment prices obtained under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These instruments consist primarily of unused commitments to extend credit, in the form of loans to the Company's portfolio companies. The balance of unfunded commitments to extend credit at September 30, 2011 totaled approximately \$148.2 million. Since a portion of these commitments may expire without being drawn, unfunded commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. In addition, the Company had approximately \$136.0 million of non-binding term sheets outstanding at September 30, 2011. Non-binding outstanding term sheets are subject to completion of the Company's due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Table of Contents

Certain premises are leased under agreements which expire at various dates through December 2013. Total rent expense amounted to approximately \$278,000 and \$832,000 during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 respectively. There was approximately \$268,000 and \$765,000 recorded in the same periods ended September 30, 2010.

Future commitments under operating leases as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) were as follows:

| Contractual Obligations | Payments due by period (in thousands) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 - 3 years | 3 - 5 years | After 5 years |
| Operating Lease Obligations ⁽¹⁾ | \$2,488 | \$1,242 | \$1,245 | \$— | \$— |
| Total | \$2,488 | \$1,242 | \$1,245 | \$— | \$— |

⁽¹⁾ Long-term facility leases.

The Company and its executives and directors are covered by Directors and Officers Insurance, with the directors and officers being indemnified by the Company to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law subject to the restrictions in the 1940 Act.

11. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (“ASU 2010-06”), which amends ASC 820 and requires additional disclosure related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements with respect to transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The update also clarifies existing disclosure requirements related to the level of disaggregation and disclosure about inputs and valuation techniques. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for disclosures related to activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted the requirements of ASU-2010-06 in the fourth quarter of 2009 and its adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued *Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04—Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, or ASU 2011-04. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, changes the application of some requirements for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosure for fair value measurements. The highest and best use valuation premise is only applicable to non-financial assets. In addition, the disclosure requirements are expanded to include for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy: (1) a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement; (2) a description of the valuation processes in place; and (3) a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, for public entities. We are evaluating the impact that our adoption of this update may have on our financial position or results of operations.

12. Subsequent Events

Portfolio Company Developments

In October 2011, Hercules’ portfolio company LaboPharm, Inc. was acquired by Paladin Labs resulting in the full repayment of Hercules’ debt of approximately \$12.0 million and the cancellation of the remaining warrants.

Company Developments

In October 2011, Hercules announced the opening of its new office in McLean, Virginia, thereby expanding to the Mid-Atlantic and South-Atlantic regions.

On November 2, 2011, Hercules renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

The matters discussed in this report, as well as in future oral and written statements by management of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, that are forward-looking statements are based on current management expectations that involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. We generally identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “could,” “intends,” “target,” “projects,” “contemplates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential” or “continue” or the negative of these terms or other similar words. Important assumptions include our ability to originate new investments, achieve certain margins and levels of profitability, the availability of additional capital, and the ability to maintain certain debt to asset ratios. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a projection or forward-looking statement in this report should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans or objectives will be achieved. The forward-looking statements contained in this report include statements as to:

- our future operating results;
- our business prospects and the prospects of our prospective portfolio companies;

Table of Contents

- the impact of investments that we expect to make;
- the impact of a protracted decline in the liquidity of the credit markets on our business;
- our informal relationships with third parties including the venture capital industry;
- the expected market for venture capital investments and our addressable market;
- the dependence of our future success on the general economy and its impact on the industries in which we invest;
- our ability to access the debt and equity markets;
- the ability of our portfolio companies to achieve their objectives;
- our expected financings and investments;
- our regulatory structure and tax status;
- our ability to operate as a business development company, a small business investment company and a regulated investment company;
- the adequacy of our cash resources and working capital;
- the timing of cash flows, if any, from the operations of our portfolio companies.
- the timing, form and amount of any dividend distributions;
- the impact of fluctuation of interest rates on our business;
- the valuation of any investments in portfolio companies, particularly those having no liquid trading market; and
- our ability to recover unrealized losses.

For a discussion of factors that could cause our actual results to differ from forward-looking statements contained in this report, please see the discussion under Item 1A—“Risk Factors” of Part II of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q as well as Item 1A—“Risk Factors” of our annual report on Form 10-K. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements made in this report relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this report contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking information due to the factors discussed under Item 1A—“Risk Factors” of Part II of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, Item 1A—“Risk Factors” of our annual report on Form 10-K, and “Forward-Looking Statements” of this Item 2.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company that provides debt and equity growth capital to technology-related companies at various stages of development from seed and emerging growth to expansion and established stages of development, which include select publicly listed companies and select lower middle market technology companies. We primarily finance privately-held companies backed by leading venture capital and private equity firms, and also may finance certain publicly-traded companies that lack access to public capital or are sensitive to equity ownership dilution. We source our investments through our principal office located in Silicon Valley, as well as through additional offices in Boston, Massachusetts, Boulder, Colorado, and McLean, Virginia.

Our goal is to be the leading structured debt financing provider of choice for venture capital and private equity backed technology-related companies requiring sophisticated and customized financing solutions. Our strategy is to evaluate and invest in a broad range of technology-related companies including clean technology, life sciences and select lower middle market technology companies and to offer a full suite of growth capital products up and down the capital structure. We invest primarily in structured debt with warrants and, to a lesser extent, in senior debt and equity investments. We use the term “structured debt with warrants” to refer to any debt investment, such as a senior or subordinated secured loan, that is coupled with an equity component, including warrants, options or rights to purchase common or preferred stock. Our structured debt with warrants investments will typically be secured by some or all of the assets of the portfolio company.

Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. Our primary business objectives are to increase our net income, net operating income and net asset value by investing in structured debt with warrants and equity of venture capital and private equity

Table of Contents

backed technology-related companies with attractive current yields and the potential for equity appreciation and realized gains. Our structured debt investments typically include warrants or other equity interests, giving us the potential to realize equity-like returns on a portion of our investments. Our equity ownership in our portfolio companies may represent a controlling interest. In some cases, we receive the right to make additional equity investments in our portfolio companies in connection with future equity financing rounds. Capital that we provide directly to venture capital and private equity backed technology-related companies is generally used for growth and general working capital purposes as well as in select cases for acquisitions or recapitalizations.

We are an internally managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. As a business development company, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For instance, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in “qualifying assets,” including securities of private U.S. companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less.

From incorporation through December 31, 2005, we were taxed as a corporation under Subchapter C of the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code. As of January 1, 2006, we have elected to be treated for federal income tax purposes as a regulated investment company, or a RIC, under Subchapter M of the Code. Pursuant to this election, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income that we distribute to our stockholders. However, such an election and qualification to be treated as a RIC requires that we comply with certain requirements contained in Subchapter M of the Code. For example, a RIC must meet certain requirements, including source-of income, asset diversification and income distribution requirements. The income source requirement mandates that we receive 90% or more of our income from qualified earnings, typically referred to as “good income.” Qualified earnings may exclude such income as management fees received in connection with our SBIC or other potential outside managed funds and certain other fees.

Our portfolio is comprised of, and we anticipate that our portfolio will continue to be comprised of, investments primarily in technology-related companies at various stages of their development. Consistent with regulatory requirements, we invest primarily in United States based companies and to a lesser extent in foreign companies. Our investing emphasis has been primarily on private companies following or in connection with a subsequent institutional round of equity financing, which we refer to as expansion-stage companies and private companies in later rounds of financing and certain public companies, which we refer to as established-stage companies and select lower middle market companies. We have focused our investment activities in private companies following or in connection with the first institutional round of financing, which we refer to as emerging-growth companies.

Portfolio and Investment Activity

The total value of our investment portfolio was \$576.5 million at September 30, 2011 as compared to \$472.0 million at December 31, 2010.

Debt commitments for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011 included commitments of approximately \$298.3 million to twenty-five new portfolio companies and \$164.8 million to fourteen existing companies. During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 we made debt commitments to new and existing portfolio companies, including restructured loans, totaling \$214.7 million and \$463.1 million and funded approximately \$147.2 million and \$356.4 million, respectively, of debt and equity investments. During the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 we made and funded equity commitments of \$1.1 million to two existing companies and \$1.6 to three existing companies.

At September 30, 2011, we had unfunded contractual commitments of approximately \$148.2 million to twenty-six portfolio companies. These commitments will be subject to the same underwriting and ongoing portfolio maintenance as the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn, unfunded commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. In addition, we have approximately \$136.0 million of non-binding term sheets outstanding to nine new and existing companies at September 30, 2011. Non-binding outstanding term sheets are subject to completion of our due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

The fair value of the loan portfolio at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$513.4 million, compared to a fair value of approximately \$352.0 million at September 30, 2010. The fair value of the equity portfolio at September 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$35.8 million and \$39.4 million, respectively. The fair value of our warrant portfolio at September 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$27.3 million and \$19.0 million, respectively.

We receive payments in our loan portfolio based on scheduled amortization of the outstanding balances. In addition, we receive repayments of some of our loans prior to their scheduled maturity date. The frequency or volume of these repayments may fluctuate significantly from period to period. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we received normal principal amortization repayments of approximately \$51.0 million, and early repayments and working line of credit pay-downs of approximately \$172.2 million. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we restructured the debt for three portfolio companies for approximately \$8.1 million, \$4.7 million and \$3.3 million, converted \$3.5 million of debt to equity, and received approximately \$23.8 million in early repayments associated with the sale of Infologix, Inc.

Table of Contents

Total portfolio investment activity as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and for the year ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| (in millions) | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Beginning Portfolio | \$ 472.0 | \$ 374.7 |
| Purchase of debt investments | 332.3 | 320.4 |
| Equity Investments | 6.3 | 2.3 |
| Sale of Investments | (17.5) | (34.2) |
| Principal payments received on investments | (54.4) | (81.6) |
| Early pay-offs and recoveries | (168.8) | (114.5) |
| Accretion of loan discounts and paid-in-kind principal | 9.2 | 3.3 |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation in investments | (2.6) | 1.6 |
| Restructure fundings | 16.1 | 78.4 |
| Restructure payoffs | (16.1) | (78.4) |
| Ending Portfolio | \$ 576.5 | \$ 472.0 |

The following table shows the fair value of our portfolio of investments by asset class:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| Senior secured debt with warrants | \$ 414,723 | 71.9% | \$ 357,963 | 75.8% |
| Senior secured debt | 125,962 | 21.9% | 59,251 | 12.6% |
| Preferred stock | 28,928 | 5.0% | 26,813 | 5.7% |
| Subordinated Debt | — | 0.0% | 8,094 | 1.7% |
| Common Stock | 6,864 | 1.2% | 19,911 | 4.2% |
| | \$ 576,477 | 100.0% | \$ 472,032 | 100.0% |

A summary of our investment portfolio at value by geographic location is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| United States | \$ 562,296 | 97.5% | \$ 438,585 | 92.9% |
| Canada | 808 | 0.1% | 20,876 | 4.4% |
| England | 9,082 | 1.6% | 10,653 | 2.3% |
| Ireland | 3,893 | 0.7% | — | 0.0% |
| Israel | 398 | 0.1% | 1,918 | 0.4% |
| | \$ 576,477 | 100.0% | \$ 472,032 | 100.0% |

Our portfolio companies are primarily privately-held expansion and established-stage companies in the biotechnology, drug discovery, drug delivery, specialty pharmaceuticals, therapeutics, clean technology, communications and networking, consumer and business products, electronics and computers, information services, internet consumer and business services and products, medical devices, semiconductor and software industry sectors. These sectors are characterized by high margins, high growth rates, consolidation and product and market extension opportunities. Value is often vested in intangible assets and intellectual property.

As of September 30, 2011, over 99.2% of our debt investments were in a senior secured position, and more than 91.1% of the debt investment portfolio was priced at floating interest rates or floating interest rates with a Prime or LIBOR based interest rate floor. Our investments in senior secured debt with warrants have equity enhancement features, typically in the form of warrants or other equity-related securities designed to provide us with an opportunity for capital appreciation. Our warrant coverage generally ranges from 3% to 20% of the principal amount invested in a portfolio company, with a strike price equal to the most recent equity financing round. As of September 30, 2011, we held warrants in 104 portfolio companies, with a fair value of approximately \$27.3 million. These warrant holdings would require us to invest approximately \$70.7 million to exercise such warrants. However, these warrants may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our warrant interests. The value of our senior secured debt (without warrants) at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$126.0 million compared to approximately \$59.3 million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to two new investments in lower middle market technology companies in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, which typically do not have equity enhancement features.

As required by the 1940 Act, the Company classifies its investments by level of control. "Control Investments" are defined in the 1940 Act as investments in those companies that the Company is deemed to "Control". Generally, under the 1940 Act, the Company is deemed to "Control" a company in which it has invested if it owns 25% or more of the voting securities of such company or has greater than 50% representation on its board. "Affiliate Investments" are investments in those companies that are "Affiliated Companies" of the Company, as defined in the 1940 Act, which are not Control Investments. The Company is deemed to be an "Affiliate" of a company in which it has invested if it owns 5% or more but less than 25% of the voting securities of such company. "Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments" are investments that are neither Control Investments nor Affiliate Investments.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our realized and unrealized gain and loss and changes in our unrealized appreciation and depreciation on control and affiliate investments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010:

| (in thousands) Portfolio Company | | Three months ended September 30, 2011 | | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| | | Fair Value at September 30, 2011 | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain / (Loss) | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Reversal of Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain/ (Loss) |
| Type | | | | | | | | | |
| MaxVision Holding, LLC. | Control | \$ 2,983 | \$ 10 | \$ 14 | \$ — | \$ 861 | \$ (3,546) | — | \$ — |
| E-Band Communications, Corp. | Non- Controlled Affiliate | — | 5 | (53) | — | 9 | (3,425) | — | — |
| Total | | <u>\$ 2,983</u> | <u>\$ 15</u> | <u>\$ (39)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 870</u> | <u>\$ (6,971)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> |

| (in thousands) Portfolio Company | | Three months ended September 30, 2010 | | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2010 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|
| | | Fair Value at September 30, 2010 | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain / (Loss) | Investment Income | Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Reversal of Unrealized (Depreciation) /Appreciation | Realized Gain / (Loss) |
| Type | | | | | | | | | |
| InfoLogix, Inc. | Control | \$ 33,935 | \$ 796 | \$ (4,266) | \$ — | \$ 2,488 | \$ (1,419) | \$ 128 | \$ 2,500 |
| E-Band Communications, Corp. | Non- Controlled Affiliate | 2,846 | — | (371) | — | — | 572 | — | — |
| Total | | <u>\$ 36,781</u> | <u>\$ 796</u> | <u>\$ (4,637)</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 2,488</u> | <u>\$ (847)</u> | <u>\$ 128</u> | <u>\$ 2,500</u> |

The Company's investment in InfoLogix, Inc., a company that was a Control Investment as of December 31, 2010, was sold to Stanley Black & Decker (NYSE:SWK) in January 2011. Approximately \$8.3 million of realized gains and \$8.4 million of net change in unrealized depreciation was recognized on this control investment during the three-month period ended March 31, 2011.

The following table shows the fair value of our portfolio by industry sector at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| Drug Discovery | \$ 81,264 | 14.1% | \$ 52,777 | 11.2% |
| Drug Delivery | 66,734 | 11.6% | 35,250 | 7.5% |
| Internet Consumer & Business Services | 65,975 | 11.4% | 7,255 | 1.5% |
| Specialty Pharmaceuticals | 61,603 | 10.7% | 63,607 | 13.5% |
| Clean Tech | 59,793 | 10.4% | 25,722 | 5.4% |
| Communications & Networking | 56,119 | 9.7% | 65,098 | 13.8% |
| Information Services | 38,812 | 6.7% | 10,857 | 2.3% |
| Therapeutic | 32,562 | 5.7% | 25,300 | 5.4% |
| Media/Content/Info | 30,852 | 5.4% | 2,223 | 0.5% |
| Biotechnology Tools | 23,796 | 4.1% | 5,987 | 1.3% |
| Software | 22,094 | 3.8% | 96,508 | 20.4% |
| Diagnostic | 14,889 | 2.6% | 14,911 | 3.2% |
| Surgical Devices | 7,683 | 1.3% | 10,172 | 2.1% |
| Semiconductors | 6,916 | 1.2% | 3,227 | 0.7% |
| Consumer & Business Products | 4,345 | 0.8% | 45,316 | 9.6% |
| Electronics & Computer Hardware | 3,040 | 0.5% | 7,819 | 1.6% |
| Energy | — | 0.0% | 3 | 0.0% |
| | <u>\$ 576,477</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 472,032</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

Table of Contents

We use an investment grading system, which grades each debt investment on a scale of 1 to 5, to characterize and monitor our expected level of risk on the debt investments in our portfolio with 1 being the highest quality. The following table shows the distribution of our outstanding debt investments on the 1 to 5 investment grading scale at fair value as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | Investments at Fair Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio |
| Investment Grading | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 108,038 | 21.0% | \$ 65,345 | 16.3% |
| 2 | 368,878 | 71.9% | 232,713 | 57.9% |
| 3 | 24,866 | 4.8% | 90,739 | 22.6% |
| 4 | 8,602 | 1.7% | 8,777 | 2.2% |
| 5 | 2,983 | 0.6% | 4,045 | 1.0% |
| | <u>\$ 513,367</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 401,619</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

As of September 30, 2011, our investments had a weighted average investment grading of 1.96 as compared to 2.21 at December 31, 2010. The improvement in investment grading is primarily attributable to one new investment rated 1 and the improvements from rated 2 to rated 1 of two investments, approximately 27 new investments to the portfolio rated 2, and the improvement from level 3 to level 2 of four investments. Our policy is to lower the grading on our portfolio companies as they approach the point in time when they will require additional equity capital. Additionally, we may downgrade our portfolio companies if they are not meeting our financing criteria and their respective business plans. Various companies in our portfolio will require additional funding in the near term or have not met their business plans and have therefore been downgraded until their funding is complete or their operations improve. At September 30, 2011, four portfolio companies were graded 3, three portfolio companies were graded 4, and two portfolio companies were graded 5 as compared to eight portfolio companies that were graded 3, two portfolio companies that were graded 4 and two portfolio companies that were graded 5 at December 31, 2010.

At September 30, 2011, there was one portfolio company on non-accrual status with a fair value of zero. There were two loans on non-accrual status as of December 31, 2010 with a fair value of approximately \$4.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 we wrote off our warrant, equity and debt investments in one of these portfolio companies for a realized loss of approximately \$5.2 million.

The effective yield on our debt investments for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was 17.8% and 16.2%, respectively. This yield was higher period over period due to unearned income accelerations attributed to early payoffs. The effective yield on our debt investments for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 excluding payoffs was 11.5% and 11.3%, respectively.

The overall weighted average yield to maturity of our loan obligations was approximately 13.0% and 13.9% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The weighted average yield to maturity is computed using the interest rates in effect at the inception of each of the loans, and includes amortization of the loan facility fees, commitment fees and market premiums or discounts over the expected life of the debt investments, weighted by their respective costs when averaged and based on the assumption that all contractual loan commitments have been fully funded and held to maturity.

We generate revenue in the form of interest income, primarily from our investments in debt securities, and commitment and facility fees. Fees generated in connection with our debt investments are recognized over the life of the loan or, in some cases, recognized as earned. In addition, we generate revenue in the form of capital gains, if any, on warrants or other equity-related securities that we acquire from our portfolio companies. Our investments generally range from \$1.0 million to \$25.0 million. Our debt investments have a term of between two and seven years and typically bear interest at a rate ranging from PRIME to 14% as of September 30, 2011. In addition to the cash yields received on our loans, in some instances, our loans may also include any of the following: end-of-term payments, exit fees, balloon payment fees, PIK provisions, prepayment fees, and diligence fees, which may be required to be included in income prior to receipt.

Loan origination and commitment fees received in full at the inception of a loan are deferred and amortized into fee income as an enhancement to the related loan's yield over the contractual life of the loan. We recognize nonrecurring fees amortized over the remaining term of the loan commencing in the quarter relating to specific loan modifications. Loan exit fees to be paid at the termination of the loan are accreted into interest income over the contractual life of the loan. We had approximately \$9.8 million and \$6.6 million of unamortized fees at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and approximately \$7.2 million and \$5.1 million in exit fees receivable at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

We have loans in our portfolio that contain a PIK provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though we have not yet collected the cash. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments. We recorded approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million in PIK income in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

In some cases, the Company collateralizes its investments by obtaining a first priority security interest in a portfolio company's assets, which may include their intellectual property. In other cases, the Company may obtain a negative pledge covering a company's intellectual property.

At September 30, 2011, approximately 60.9% of our portfolio company loans were secured by a first priority security in all of the assets of the portfolio company, 38.3% of the loans were to portfolio companies that were prohibited from pledging or encumbering their intellectual property and 0.8% of portfolio company loans had an equipment only lien.

Interest on debt securities is generally payable monthly, with amortization of principal typically occurring over the term of the security for emerging-growth, expansion-stage and established-stage companies. In addition, certain loans may include an interest-only period ranging from three to eighteen months for emerging-growth and expansion-stage companies and longer for established-stage companies. In limited instances in which we choose to defer amortization of the loan for a period of time from the date of the initial investment, the principal amount of the debt securities and any accrued but unpaid interest become due at the maturity date.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

Investment Income

Interest income totaled approximately \$16.4 and \$50.9 million for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$14.1 and \$38.1 million for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010. Income from commitment, facility and loan related fees totaled approximately \$2.3 and \$7.7 million for the three and nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, compared with \$1.5 and \$4.5 million for the same periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The increase in interest income is attributable to a higher average interest earning investment portfolio and income from early repayments. Income from commitment, facility and loan related fees are primarily the result of an increase in facilities fees of approximately \$1.4 million during the period ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period ended September 30, 2010.

PIK Income

The following table shows the PIK-related activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 *at cost*:

| <u>(in thousands)</u> | <u>Nine months ended</u> <u>September 30,</u> | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | <u>2011</u> | <u>2010</u> |
| Beginning PIK loan balance | \$ 3,955 | \$ 2,315 |
| PIK interest capitalized during the period | 1,801 | 2,366 |
| Payments received from PIK loans | (3,567) | (1,087) |
| PIK converted to other securities | (440) | — |
| Realized Loss | — | (327) |
| Ending PIK loan balance | \$ 1,749 | \$ 3,267 |

The increase in payments received from PIK loans during the nine months September 30, 2011 includes \$1.5 million of PIK collected in conjunction with the sale of our investment in Infologix, Inc. in the first quarter of 2011.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, which are comprised of interest and fees, general and administrative and employee compensation, totaled approximately \$10.1 million and \$7.5 million during the three month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating expenses totaled approximately \$29.9 million and \$22.0 million during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Interest and fees totaled approximately \$4.3 million and approximately \$11.3 million during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and approximately \$2.5 million and \$7.2 million during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010. The increase is primarily attributed to \$1.3 million and \$2.3 million of interest and fee expenses during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively, related to the \$75.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes issued on April 15, 2011. Additionally, the Company incurred approximately \$271,000 and \$496,000 of non cash interest expense during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively, attributed to the accretion of the fair value of the conversion feature on the Convertible Senior Notes. The Company had a weighted average cost of debt comprised of interest and fees of approximately 6.5% at September 30, 2011, as compared to 6.2% during the third quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily attributed to the weighted average cost of debt on the senior convertible notes of 8.2% offset by a lower weighted average cost of debt on outstanding SBA debentures at 5.2% in the third quarter of 2011 versus 6.1% in the third quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses include legal, consulting and accounting fees, insurance premiums, rent, workout and various other expenses. Expenses remained relatively flat at approximately \$1.7 million for the three month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and increased to \$6.2 million from \$5.2 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, primarily due to increased recruiting, accounting and legal expenses.

Employee compensation and benefits totaled approximately \$3.3 million and approximately \$9.9 million during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Employee compensation and benefits totaled approximately \$2.6 million and approximately \$7.7 million during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively. This increase is primarily due to an increase in employee headcount and increased salary and executive severance costs as compared to the same period of 2010. We expect to continue to hire to meet our portfolio growth. Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$870,000 and approximately \$2.5 million during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 respectively and approximately \$752,000 and approximately \$2.0 million during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010. These increases were due primarily to the expense on restricted stock grants issued in the first quarter of 2011. See "Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources" for disclosure of additional expenses.

Net Investment Income Before Investment Gains and Losses

Net investment income per share was \$0.20 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$0.23 per share in the quarter ended September 30, 2010. Net investment income before investment gains and losses for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$8.6 million and \$28.8 million, respectively as compared to \$8.1 million and \$20.6 million in the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The changes are made up of the items described above under "Investment Income" and "Operating Expenses."

Net Investment Realized Gains and Losses and Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation

Realized gains or losses are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the repayment or sale and the cost basis of the investment without regard to unrealized appreciation or depreciation previously recognized, and includes investments charged off during the period, net of recoveries. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation primarily reflects the change in portfolio investment values during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses are realized.

During the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized total net realized gains of approximately \$10.1 million from the sale of common stock in its public portfolio companies and realized losses of approximately \$1.6 million and approximately \$6.7 million from equity, loan, and warrant investments in portfolio companies that have been liquidated. The loss is primarily attributed to the termination of warrants in LaboPharm, Inc. of \$0.6 million and the write-off of equity in Solarflare, Inc. of \$0.6 million. During the three and nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 the Company recognized net realized losses of approximately \$18.9 million and approximately \$19.2 million from equity, loan and warrant investments in portfolio companies that have been liquidated and realized gains of approximately \$3.6 million from the sale of common stock in public companies and approximately \$465,000 from the mergers of private portfolio companies.

A summary of realized gains and losses for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, 2011 | 2010 | September 30, 2011 | 2010 |
| Realized gains | \$ 0.3 | \$ — | \$ 10.6 | \$ 4.4 |
| Realized losses | (1.9) | (18.9) | (7.2) | (19.5) |
| Net realized gains (losses) | \$ (1.6) | \$ (18.9) | \$ 3.4 | \$ (15.1) |

During the three month period ended September 30, 2011 net change in unrealized depreciation totaled approximately \$769,000 from loan, warrant and equity investments. Approximately \$5.9 million was due to net unrealized appreciation on equity and loans, primarily attributed to the exercise of our warrants in Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to common shares. Approximately \$6.6 million was due to unrealized depreciation on warrant investments, primarily attributable to the exercise of our warrants and the decrease in fair market value for public company holdings.

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 net change in unrealized depreciation totaled approximately \$2.8 from loan, warrant and equity investments. Approximately \$4.0 million was due to net unrealized appreciation on debt and warrants, primarily attributable to the increase in fair market value for public company holdings. Approximately \$6.8 million was due to unrealized depreciation on equity investments, primarily attributable to the sale of InfoLogix, Inc. in the first quarter of 2011. Approximately \$8.3 million of realized gains and \$8.4 million of net change in unrealized depreciation was recognized on this control investment during the three-month period ended March 31, 2011.

During the same periods ending September 30, 2010 net unrealized appreciation totaled approximately \$2.9 million and net unrealized depreciation totaled approximately \$12.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

For the three month period ended September 30, 2011 approximately \$2.2 million and \$3.7 million of the net unrealized appreciation recognized was attributable to debt and equity investments, respectively, and approximately \$6.6 million of net unrealized depreciation on our warrant investments. Included in this amount is unrealized appreciation of approximately \$1.5 million attributable to the reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon being realized as a loss and approximately \$2.9 million in unrealized depreciation attributable to the exercise of warrants to equity. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 approximately \$3.4 million and \$616,000 of the net unrealized appreciation was attributable to debt and warrant investments, respectively, and approximately \$6.8 million of depreciation was attributable to equity investments. As of September 30, 2011, the net unrealized depreciation recognized by the Company was increased by approximately \$229,000 due to the warrant participation agreement with Citigroup. For a more detailed discussion of the warrant participation agreement, see the discussion set forth under Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The net unrealized appreciation and depreciation of our investments is based on fair value of each investment determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. This net unrealized appreciation was primarily comprised of increases in the fair value of our portfolio companies due to positive company performance and market conditions.

The following table itemizes the change in net unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation on portfolio investments | \$ 11,928 | \$ 4,565 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation on portfolio investments | (11,423) | (15,824) |
| Reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon realization | (3,323) | (3,912) |
| Reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon realization | 1,913 | 17,888 |
| Citigroup Warrant Participation | 136 | 177 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on portfolio investments | \$ (769) | \$ 2,894 |

| (in thousands) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross unrealized appreciation on portfolio investments | \$ 41,945 | \$ 26,369 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation on portfolio investments | (38,833) | (52,867) |
| Reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon realization | (13,225) | (3,902) |
| Reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon realization | 7,519 | 18,048 |
| Citigroup Warrant Participation | (229) | 134 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on portfolio investments | \$ (2,823) | \$ (12,218) |

Income and Excise Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740, Income Taxes, which requires that deferred income taxes be determined based upon the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of the enacted tax law. Valuation allowances are used to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount likely to be realized.

Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations and Change in Net Assets per Share

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the net increase in net assets resulting from operations totaled approximately \$6.2 million and \$29.4 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the net decrease in net assets resulting from operations totaled approximately \$7.8 million and \$6.7 million. These changes are made up of the items previously described.

Basic and fully diluted net change in net assets per common share for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.14 and \$0.67, respectively, as compared to basic and fully diluted change in net assets per common share of \$(0.23) and \$(0.20) for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources

At September 30, 2011, we had approximately \$96.3 million in cash and cash equivalents and available borrowing capacity of approximately \$75.0 million under the Wells Facility, \$20.0 million under the Union Bank Facility and \$36.25 million under the SBA program, subject to existing terms and advance rates and regulatory requirements. We primarily invest cash on hand in interest bearing deposit accounts.

As of September 30, 2011, net assets totaled \$422.1 million, with a net asset value per share of \$9.61. We intend to generate additional cash primarily from cash flows from operations, including income earned from investments in our portfolio companies and, to a lesser extent, from the temporary investment of cash in U.S. government securities and other high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less as well as from future borrowings as required to meet our lending activities. Our primary use of funds will be investments in portfolio companies and cash distributions to holders of our common stock. Additionally, we expect to raise additional capital to support our future growth through future equity offerings, issuances of senior securities and/or future borrowings, to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. To the extent we determine to raise additional equity through an offering of our common stock at a price below net asset value, existing investors will experience dilution. During our 2011 Annual Shareholder Meeting held on June 1, 2011, our shareholders authorized us, with the approval of our Board of Directors, to sell up to 20% of our outstanding common stock at a price below our then current net asset value per share and to offer and issue debt with warrants or debt convertible into shares of our common stock at an exercise or conversion price that will not be less than the fair market value per share but may be below the then current net asset value per share. However, there can be no assurance that these capital resources will be available given the credit constraints of the banking and capital markets.

As required by the 1940 Act, our asset coverage must be at least 200% after each issuance of senior securities. As of September 30, 2011 our asset coverage ratio under our regulatory requirements as a business development company was 971.5%, excluding our SBIC debentures as a result of our exemptive order from the SEC which allows us to exclude all SBA leverage from our asset coverage ratio. Total leverage when including our SBIC debentures was 263.1% at September 30, 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our operating activities used \$72.5 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to \$45.6 million used during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The \$26.9 million increase in cash used in operating activities resulted primarily from increased investing activity. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our financing activities provided \$62.0 million of cash, compared to \$4.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This \$57.9 million increase in cash provided by financing activities was due primarily due to the issuance of \$75.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes in April 2011.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had the following borrowing capacity and outstanding amounts:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ |
| Union Bank Facility | \$ 20,000 | \$ — | \$ 20,000 | \$ — |
| Wells Facility | 75,000 | — | 50,000 | — |
| Convertible Senior Notes ⁽²⁾ | 75,000 | 70,082 | — | — |
| SBA Debenture ⁽³⁾ | 225,000 | 188,750 | 225,000 | 170,000 |
| Total | \$395,000 | \$258,832 | \$295,000 | \$170,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Except for the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below), all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below) less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$4,918 at September 30, 2011.

⁽³⁾ The Company has the ability to borrow an additional \$36.3 million subject to SBA approval and compliance with SBIC regulations.

Table of Contents

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license and on May 26, 2010 HT III received a license to operate as SBICs under the SBIC program and are able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments. As of September 30, 2011, all required contributed capital from the Company has been invested into HT II and HT III. The Company is the sole limited partner of HT II and HT III and HTM is the general partner. HTM is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II's or HT III's use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to us if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect us because HT II and HT III are our wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC's leverage as of September 30, 2011 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations. In aggregate, HT II and HT III hold approximately \$334.9 million in assets, and accounted for approximately 35.5% of our total assets prior to consolidation at September 30, 2011.

With our net investment of \$75.0 million in HT II as of September 30, 2011, HT II has the capacity to issue a total of \$125.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, of which \$125.0 million was outstanding at September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures issued by a single SBIC is \$150.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. As of September 30, 2011, we held investments in HT II in 84 companies with a fair value of approximately \$180.8 million, accounting for approximately 31.4% of our total portfolio at September 30, 2011.

As of September 30, 2011, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of combined outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures is \$225.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. As of September 30, 2011, HT III had the potential to borrow up to \$100.0 million of SBA-guaranteed debentures under the SBIC program. With our net investment of \$50.0 million in HT III as of September 30, 2011, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$100.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$63.75 million was outstanding at September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, HT III has paid the SBA commitment fees of approximately \$750,000. As of September 30, 2011, we held investments in HT III in 20 companies with a fair value of approximately \$92.4 million accounting for approximately 16.0% of our total portfolio at September 30, 2011.

| (in thousands) Issuance/Pooling Date | Maturity Date | Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SBA Debentures: | | | | |
| September 26, 2007 | September 1, 2017 | 6.43% | \$ 12,000 | \$ 12,000 |
| March 26, 2008 | March 1, 2018 | 6.38% | \$ 58,050 | \$ 58,050 |
| September 24, 2008 | September 1, 2018 | 6.63% | \$ 13,750 | \$ 38,750 |
| March 25, 2009 | March 1, 2019 | 5.53% | \$ 18,400 | \$ 18,400 |
| September 23, 2009 | September 1, 2019 | 4.64% | \$ 3,400 | \$ 3,400 |
| September 22, 2010 | September 1, 2020 | 3.62% | \$ 6,500 | \$ 6,500 |
| September 22, 2010 | September 1, 2020 | 3.50% | \$ 22,900 | \$ 32,900 |
| March 29, 2011 | March 1, 2021 | 4.37% | \$ 28,750 | \$ — |
| September 21, 2011 | September 1, 2021 | 3.16% | \$ 25,000 | \$ — |
| Total SBA Debentures | | | \$ 188,750 | \$ 170,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Interest rate includes annual charge

Current Market Conditions

Beginning in the fall of 2008, the global economy entered a financial crisis and recession. Volatile capital and credit markets, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment precipitated a continuing economic slowdown. Although there have been signs of recovery in many regions, economic weakness could continue or worsen. For example, the current U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns, together with signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, have increased the possibility of credit-rating downgrades and economic slowdowns. Although U.S. lawmakers passed legislation to raise the federal debt ceiling, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the United States from "AAA" to "AA+" on August 5, 2011. The impact of this or any further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating, or its perceived creditworthiness, and the impact of the current crisis in Europe with respect to the ability of certain European Union countries to continue to service their sovereign debt obligations is inherently unpredictable and could adversely effect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. There can be no assurance that governmental or other measures to aid economic recovery will be effective. We anticipate that there may be yield compression as 2011 comes to an end, however, given our level of liquidity and pipeline, we believe that we are well positioned despite the uncertainty in the market. Continued adverse economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may acquire a portfolio of investments or sell a portion of our portfolio on an opportunistic basis. We, from time to time, engage in discussions with counterparties in respect of various potential transactions. Some of these transactions could be material to our business. Consummation of any such transaction will be subject to completion of due diligence finalization of key business and financial terms (including price) and negotiation of final definitive documentation as well as a number of other factors and conditions including, without limitation, the approval of our Board of Directors and required third party consents and, in certain cases, the approval of our stockholders. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that any such transaction would be consummated.

We periodically review and assess investment portfolio acquisition opportunities of target companies that would be accretive to us. In the future, we may determine to acquire such portfolios which could affect our liquidity position and necessitate our need to raise additional capital to fund our growth.

Table of Contents

Commitments

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These consist primarily of unfunded commitments to extend credit, in the form of loans, to our portfolio companies. Unfunded commitments to provide funds to portfolio companies are not reflected on our balance sheet. Our origination activity unfunded commitments may be significant from time to time. As of September 30, 2011, we had unfunded commitments of approximately \$148.2 million. These commitments will be subject to the same underwriting and ongoing portfolio maintenance as are the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Closed commitments generally fund 70-80% of the committed amount in aggregate over the life of the commitment. We intend to use cash flow from normal and early principal repayments, SBA debentures, our Wells Facility, our Union Bank Facility and proceeds from Senior Secured Notes to fund these commitments. However, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient capital available to fund these commitments as they come due.

In addition, we had approximately \$136.0 million of non-binding term sheets outstanding with nine companies, which generally convert to contractual commitments within approximately 45 to 60 days of signing. Non-binding outstanding term from prior release are subject to completion of our due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011:

| Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Payments due by period (in thousands) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 - 3 years | 3 - 5 years ⁽³⁾ | After 5 years ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Borrowings | \$258,832 | \$ — | \$ — | \$70,082 | \$188,750 |
| Operating Lease Obligations ⁽⁵⁾ | 2,488 | 1,242 | 1,245 | — | — |
| Total | <u>\$261,320</u> | <u>\$ 1,242</u> | <u>\$1,245</u> | <u>\$70,082</u> | <u>\$188,750</u> |

(1) Excludes commitments to extend credit to our portfolio companies.

(2) We also have warrant participation obligation with Citigroup. See "Borrowings."

(3) Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below) less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$4,918 at September 30, 2011.

(4) Borrowings under the SBA debentures

(5) Long-term facility leases

Hercules and its executives and directors are covered by Directors and Officers Insurance, with the directors and officers being indemnified by Hercules to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law subject to the restrictions in the 1940 Act.

Borrowings

Long-term SBA Debentures

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and regulatory capital. Under the Small Business Investment Company Act and current SBA policy applicable to SBICs, a SBIC can have outstanding at any time SBA guaranteed debentures up to twice the amount of its regulatory capital. As of September 30, 2011, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures issued by a single SBIC is \$150.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. HT II has a total of \$125.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and has paid the SBA commitment fees of approximately \$1.5 million. As of September 30, 2011, the Company held investments in HT II in 84 companies with a fair value of approximately \$180.8 million, accounting for approximately 31.4% of our total portfolio at September 30, 2011.

On May 26, 2010, HT III received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With the Company's net investment of \$50.0 million in HT III as of September 30, 2011, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$100.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$63.75 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, HT III has paid commitment fees of approximately \$750,000. As of September 30, 2011, the Company held investments in HT III in 20 companies with a fair value of approximately \$92.4 million accounting for approximately 16.0% of our total portfolio at September 30, 2011.

There is no assurance that HT II or HT III will be able to draw up to the maximum limit available under the SBIC program.

Table of Contents

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses include businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18 million and have average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, SBICs must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to “smaller” concerns as defined by the SBA. A smaller concern is one that has a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and has average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross sales. According to SBA regulations, SBICs may make long-term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III, the Company plans to provide long-term loans to qualifying small businesses, and in connection therewith, make equity investments.

HT II and HT III are periodically examined and audited by the SBA’s staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II’s or HT III’s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to the Company if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect the Company because HT II and III are the Company’s wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC’s leverage as of September 30, 2011 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations. As of September 30, 2011, HT III could draw up to \$36.25 million, respectively, of additional leverage from SBA.

The rates of borrowings under various draws from the SBA beginning in April 2007 are set semiannually in March and September and range from 2.88% to 5.73%. Interest payments on SBA debentures are payable semi-annually. There are no principal payments required on these issues prior to maturity and no prepayment penalties. Debentures under the SBA generally mature ten years after being borrowed. Based on the initial draw down date of April 2007, the initial maturity of SBA debentures will occur in April 2017. In addition, the SBA charges a fee that is set annually, depending on the Federal fiscal year the leverage commitment was delegated by the SBA, regardless of the date that the leverage was drawn by the SBIC. The annual fees related to HT II debentures that pooled on September 22, 2010 were 0.406% and 0.285%, depending upon the year the underlying commitment was closed in. The annual fee related to HT III debentures that pooled on September 21, 2011 was 0.285%. The annual fees on other debentures have been set at 0.906%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 for HT II was approximately \$125.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 5.0%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 for HT III was approximately \$63.75 million with an average interest rate of approximately 3.5%.

Wells Facility

On August 25, 2008, Hercules, through a special purpose wholly-owned subsidiary, Hercules Funding II, LLC, entered into a two-year revolving senior secured credit facility with an optional one-year extension with total commitments of \$50.0 million, with Wells Fargo Capital Finance as a lender and as an arranger and administrative agent (the “Wells Facility”). The Wells Facility has the capacity to increase to \$300.0 million if additional lenders are added to the syndicate. The Wells Facility expired in August 2011.

Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.25% or PRIME plus 2.0%, but not less than 5.0%. The Wells Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Wells Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. We have paid a total of \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which has been amortized through August 2011.

The Wells Facility includes various financial and operating covenants applicable to the Company and its subsidiaries, in addition to those applicable to Hercules Funding II, LLC. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding Subordinated Indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the cumulative amount of equity raised after March 31, 2011. In addition, the tangible net worth covenant will increase by 90 cents on the dollar for every dollar of equity capital subsequently raised by the Company. The Wells Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

On June 20, 2011, we renewed the Wells Facility. Under this three-year senior secured facility, Wells Fargo Capital Finance has made commitments of \$75.0 million. Borrowings under the facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50%, with a floor of 5.00% and an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans. The facility will be secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Wells Facility requires the monthly payment of a non-use fee of 0.3% for each payment date on or before September 1, 2011. The monthly payment of a non-use fee thereafter shall depend on the average balance that was outstanding on a scale between 0.0% and 0.75%. From September 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011, this non-use fee was 0.75%. The facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Wells Fargo Capital Finance and subject to other customary conditions. We expect to continue discussions with various other potential lenders to join the new facility; however, there can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the new credit facility. This new arrangement replaced the previous \$300.0 million Wells Facility under which Wells Fargo Capital Finance had committed \$50.0 million in capital. On June 20, 2011 we paid an additional \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which is being amortized through June 2014. There was no outstanding debt under the Wells Facility at September 30, 2011.

We anticipate incurring a non-use fee expense of approximately \$200,000 or \$0.005 per share per quarter until we borrow under the Wells Facility. In total, we expect the expense from the Convertible Senior Notes and facility fees to negatively impact earnings in the near term by approximately \$1.5 million or \$0.04 per quarter until any of the capital is deployed.

Union Bank Facility

On February 10, 2010, we entered a \$20.0 million one-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Union Bank (the "Union Bank Facility"). Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%, an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans, and secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Union Bank Facility required the payment of a non-use fee of 0.25% annually. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50.0% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. In February 2011, the maturity date of the facility was extended from May 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011. Union Bank Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2011.

On June 7, 2011, we entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility which extended the borrowing termination date to September 30, 2011. The amendment to the Union Bank Facility also amends the maturity date of Union Bank's \$20.0 million commitment to mean the earliest of: (a) December 31, 2011; (b) the date on which Union Bank's obligation to make loans is terminated and the obligations are declared to be due and payable or the commitment is terminated; or (c) the date of prepayment in full by the Company. There was no outstanding debt under the Union Bank Facility at September 30, 2011.

On November 2, 2011, we renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding Subordinated Indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of common stock after March 31, 2011. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, approximately three years from the date of issuance, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions.

Convertible Senior Notes

In April 2011, we issued \$75.0 million in aggregate principle amount of 6.00% convertible senior notes (the "Convertible Senior Notes") due 2016. As of September 30, 2011, the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes, comprised of the aggregate principal amount outstanding less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, is approximately \$70.1 million.

The Convertible Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2016 (the "Maturity Date"), unless previously converted or repurchased in accordance with their terms. The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.00% per year payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2011. The Convertible Senior Notes are our senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to our existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Senior Notes; equal in right of payment to our existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness (including unsecured indebtedness that we later secure) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by our subsidiaries, financing vehicles or similar facilities.

Prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding October 15, 2015, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes only under certain circumstances set forth in the Indenture. On or after October 15, 2015 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the Maturity Date, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes at any time. Upon conversion, we will pay or deliver, as the case may be, at our election, cash, shares of its common stock or a combination of cash and shares of its common stock. The conversion rate will initially be 84.0972 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$11.89 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, if certain corporate events occur prior to the Maturity Date, the conversion rate will be increased for converting holders.

Table of Contents

We may not redeem the Convertible Senior Notes prior to maturity. No sinking fund is provided for the Convertible Senior Notes. In addition, if certain corporate events occur, holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their Convertible Senior Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest through, but excluding, the required repurchase date.

In accounting for the Convertible Senior Notes, we estimated that the values of the debt and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes were approximately 92.8% and 7.2%, respectively. The original issue discount of 7.2% attributable to the conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes has initially be recorded in "capital in excess of par value" in the consolidated statement of assets and liabilities. As a result, we record interest expense comprised of both stated interest expense as well as accretion of the original issue discount resulting in an estimated effective interest rate of approximately 7.9%.

As of September 30, 2011, the components of the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

| (in thousands) | As of September 30, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Principal amount of debt | \$ 75,000 |
| Original issue discount, net of accretion | (4,918) |
| Carrying value of debt | <u>\$ 70,082</u> |

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the components of interest expense and cash paid for interest expense for the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Stated interest expense | \$ 1,125 | \$ 2,062 |
| Accretion of original issue discount | 270 | 496 |
| Amortization of debt issuance cost | 144 | 264 |
| Total interest expense | <u>\$ 1,539</u> | <u>\$ 2,822</u> |
| Cash paid for interest expense | \$ — | \$ — |

As of September 30, 2011, we are in compliance with the terms of the indentures governing the Convertible Senior Notes. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 for more detail on the Convertible Senior Notes.

Citibank Credit Facility

We, through Hercules Funding Trust I, an affiliated statutory trust, had a securitized credit facility (the "Citibank Credit Facility") with Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. During the first quarter of 2009, we paid off all remaining principal and interest owed under the Citibank Credit Facility. Citigroup has an equity participation right through a warrant participation agreement on the pool of loans and warrants collateralized under the Citibank Credit Facility. Pursuant to the warrant participation agreement, we granted to Citigroup a 10% participation in all warrants held as collateral. However, no additional warrants were included in collateral subsequent to the facility amendment on May 2, 2007. As a result, Citigroup is entitled to 10% of the realized gains on the warrants until the realized gains paid to Citigroup pursuant to the agreement equal \$3,750,000 (the "Maximum Participation Limit"). The obligations under the warrant participation agreement continue even after the Citibank Credit Facility is terminated until the Maximum Participation Limit has been reached. The value of their participation right on unrealized gains in the related equity investments was approximately \$727,000 as of September 30, 2011 and is included in accrued liabilities. There can be no assurances that the unrealized appreciation of the warrants will not be higher or lower in future periods due to fluctuations in the value of the warrants, thereby increasing or reducing the effect on the cost of borrowing. Since inception of the agreement, we have paid Citigroup approximately \$1.1 million under the warrant participation agreement thereby reducing its realized gains by this amount. We will continue to pay Citigroup under the warrant participation agreement until the Maximum Participation Limit is reached or the warrants expire.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Borrowings

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had the following borrowing capacity and outstanding borrowings:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ | Total Available | Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾ |
| Union Bank Facility | \$ 20,000 | \$ — | \$ 20,000 | \$ — |
| Wells Facility | 75,000 | — | 50,000 | — |
| Convertible Senior Notes ⁽²⁾ | 75,000 | 70,082 | — | — |
| SBA Debenture ⁽³⁾ | 225,000 | 188,750 | 225,000 | 170,000 |
| Total | \$395,000 | \$258,832 | \$295,000 | \$ 170,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Except for the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined above), all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined above) less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$4,918 at September 30, 2011.

⁽³⁾ The Company has the ability to borrow an additional \$36.3 million subject to SBA approval and compliance with SBIC regulations for which they have received a commitment.

Dividends

The following table summarizes our dividends declared and paid or to be paid on all shares, including restricted stock, to date:

| Date Declared | Record Date | Payment Date | Amount Per Share |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| October 27, 2005 | November 1, 2005 | November 17, 2005 | \$ 0.025 |
| December 9, 2005 | January 6, 2006 | January 27, 2006 | 0.300 |
| April 3, 2006 | April 10, 2006 | May 5, 2006 | 0.300 |
| July 19, 2006 | July 31, 2006 | August 28, 2006 | 0.300 |
| October 16, 2006 | November 6, 2006 | December 1, 2006 | 0.300 |
| February 7, 2007 | February 19, 2007 | March 19, 2007 | 0.300 |
| May 3, 2007 | May 16, 2007 | June 18, 2007 | 0.300 |
| August 2, 2007 | August 16, 2007 | September 17, 2007 | 0.300 |
| November 1, 2007 | November 16, 2007 | December 17, 2007 | 0.300 |
| February 7, 2008 | February 15, 2008 | March 17, 2008 | 0.300 |
| May 8, 2008 | May 16, 2008 | June 16, 2008 | 0.340 |
| August 7, 2008 | August 15, 2008 | September 19, 2008 | 0.340 |
| November 6, 2008 | November 14, 2008 | December 15, 2008 | 0.340 |
| February 12, 2009 | February 23, 2009 | March 30, 2009 | 0.320* |
| May 7, 2009 | May 15, 2009 | June 15, 2009 | 0.300 |
| August 6, 2009 | August 14, 2009 | September 14, 2009 | 0.300 |
| October 15, 2009 | October 20, 2009 | November 23, 2009 | 0.300 |
| December 16, 2009 | December 24, 2009 | December 30, 2009 | 0.040 |
| February 11, 2010 | February 19, 2010 | March 19, 2010 | 0.200 |
| May 3, 2010 | May 12, 2010 | June 18, 2010 | 0.200 |
| August 2, 2010 | August 12, 2010 | September 17, 2010 | 0.200 |
| November 4, 2010 | November 10, 2010 | December 17, 2010 | 0.200 |
| March 1, 2011 | March 10, 2011 | March 24, 2011 | 0.220 |
| May 5, 2011 | May 11, 2011 | June 23, 2011 | 0.220 |
| August 4, 2011 | August 15, 2011 | September 15, 2011 | 0.220 |
| November 3, 2011 | November 14, 2011 | November 29, 2011 | 0.220 |
| | | | <u>\$ 6.685</u> |

* Dividend paid in cash and stock.

On November 3, 2011, the Board of Directors announced a cash dividend of \$0.22 per share to be paid on November 29, 2011 to shareholders of record as of November 14, 2011. This dividend is the Company's twenty-fifth consecutive quarterly dividend declaration since its initial public offering, and will bring the total cumulative dividend declared to date to \$6.69 per share.

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors maintains a variable dividend policy with the objective of distributing four quarterly distributions in an amount that approximates 90 - 100% of our taxable quarterly income or potential annual income for a particular year. In addition, at the end of the year, we may also pay an additional special dividend or fifth dividend, such that we may distribute approximately all of our annual taxable income in the year it was earned, while maintaining the option to spill over our excess taxable income.

Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would generally be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder's tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain. The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year, therefore a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the tax attributes of our 2011 distributions to stockholders. If we had determined the tax attributes of our distributions year-to-date as of September 30, 2011, approximately 97% would be from ordinary income and spillover earnings from 2010, and 3% would be a return of capital.

We intend to distribute quarterly dividends to our stockholders. In order to avoid certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we currently intend to distribute during each calendar year an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year, and (3) any ordinary income and net capital gains for the preceding year that were not distributed during such year. We will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). In order to obtain the tax benefits applicable to RICs, we will be required to distribute to our stockholders with respect to each taxable year at least 90% of our ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses.

We can offer no assurance that we will achieve results that will permit the payment of any cash distributions and, if we issue senior securities, we will be prohibited from making distributions if doing so causes us to fail to maintain the asset coverage ratios stipulated by the 1940 Act or if distributions are limited by the terms of any of our borrowings. See "Regulation."

We maintain an "opt-out" dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a dividend, cash dividends will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless the stockholder specifically "opts out" of the dividend reinvestment plan and chooses to receive cash dividends. See "Dividend Reinvestment Plan."

Our ability to make distributions will be limited by the asset coverage requirements under the 1940 Act.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. On an ongoing basis, our management evaluates its estimates and assumptions, which are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in our estimates and assumptions could materially impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Valuation of Portfolio Investments. The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

Our investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, (formerly known as SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements). At September 30, 2011, approximately 83.7% of the Company's total assets represented investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. Our debt securities are primarily invested in equity sponsored technology-related companies including life science, clean technology and select lower middle market technology companies. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, our investments in these portfolio companies are generally considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged. As such, it values substantially all of its investments at fair value as determined in good faith pursuant to a consistent valuation policy and our Board of Directors in accordance with the provisions of ASC 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by our Board may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors may from time to time engage an independent valuation firm to provide us with valuation assistance with respect to certain of our portfolio investments on a quarterly basis. We intend to continue to engage an independent valuation firm to provide us with assistance regarding our determination of the fair value of selected portfolio investments each quarter unless directed by the Board of Directors to cancel such valuation services. The scope of the services rendered by an independent valuation firm is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of our investments in good faith.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available or when such market quotations are deemed not to represent fair value, our Board of Directors has approved a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- (1) our quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company or investment being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for the portfolio investment;
- (2) preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and discussed with our investment committee;
- (3) the valuation committee of the Board of Directors reviews the preliminary valuation of the investment committee and that of the independent valuation firm and responds to the valuation recommendation of the independent valuation firm to reflect any comments, if any, and
- (4) the Board of Directors discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of, where applicable, the respective independent valuation firm and the valuation committee.

We adopted ASC 820 on January 1, 2008. ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. ASC 820 also enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements based on the level within the hierarchy of the information used in the valuation. ASC 820 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

We have categorized all investments recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 820 based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by ASC 820 and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1—Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are equities listed in active markets.

Level 2—Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset in connection with market data at the measurement date and for the extent of the instrument's anticipated life. Fair valued assets that are generally included in this category are warrants held in a public company.

Level 3—Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset at the measurement date. It includes prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. Generally, assets carried at fair value and included in this category are the debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company.

Debt Investments

We follow the guidance set forth in ASC 820 which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. Our debt securities are primarily invested in equity sponsored technology, life science and clean technology companies. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, our investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged.

We apply a procedure that assumes a sale of investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under this process, we also evaluate the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as apply all of its historical fair value analysis. We use pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date. We consider each portfolio company's credit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment as of the measurement date. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment's fair value as of the measurement date.

Table of Contents

Our process includes, among other things, the underlying investment performance, the current portfolio company's financial condition and market changing events that impact valuation, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. If there is a significant deterioration of the credit quality of a debt investment, we may consider other factors than those a hypothetical market participant would use to estimate fair value, including the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

We record unrealized depreciation on investments when it believes that an investment has decreased in value, including where collection of a loan is doubtful or if under the in exchange premise when the value of a debt security were to be less than amortized cost of the investment. Conversely, where appropriate, we record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and, therefore, that our investment has also appreciated in value or if under the in exchange premise the value of a debt security were to be greater than amortized cost.

When originating a debt instrument, we generally receive warrants or other equity-related securities from the borrower. We determine the cost basis of the warrants or other equity-related securities received based upon their respective fair values on the date of receipt in proportion to the total fair value of the debt and warrants or other equity-related securities received. Any resulting discount on the loan from recordation of the warrant or other equity instruments is accreted into interest income over the life of the loan.

Equity-Related Securities and Warrants

Securities that are traded in the over-the-counter markets or on a stock exchange will be valued at the prevailing bid price at period end. We have a limited number of equity securities in public companies. In accordance with the 1940 Act, unrestricted publicly traded securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing market quote on the valuation date.

We estimate the fair value of warrants using a Black Scholes pricing model. At each reporting date, privately held warrant and equity related securities are valued based on an analysis of various factors including, but not limited to, the portfolio company's operating performance and financial condition and general market conditions, price to enterprise value or price to equity ratios, discounted cash flow, valuation comparisons to comparable public companies or other industry benchmarks. When an external event occurs, such as a purchase transaction, public offering, or subsequent equity sale, the pricing indicated by that external event is utilized to corroborate our valuation of the warrant and equity related. We periodically review the valuation of our portfolio companies that have not been involved in a qualifying external event to determine if the enterprise value of the portfolio company may have increased or decreased since the last valuation measurement date.

Income Recognition.

We record interest income on the accrual basis and we recognize it as earned in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Original Issue Discount ("OID") initially represents the value of detachable equity warrants obtained in conjunction with the acquisition of debt securities and is accreted into interest income over the term of the loan as a yield enhancement. When a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if management otherwise does not expect the portfolio company to be able to service its debt and other obligations, we will generally place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until all principal has been paid. Any uncollected interest related to prior periods is reversed from income in the period that collection of the interest receivable is determined to be doubtful. However, we may make exceptions to this policy if the investment has sufficient collateral value and is in the process of collection. As of September 30, 2011, we had one portfolio company on non-accrual status with a fair value of zero. There were two loans on non-accrual status with a fair value of approximately \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 we wrote off our warrant, equity and debt investments in one of these portfolio companies for a realized loss of approximately \$5.2 million.

Paid-In-Kind and End of Term Income.

Contractual paid-in-kind ("PIK") interest, which represents contractually deferred interest added to the loan balance that is generally due at the end of the loan term, is generally recorded on the accrual basis to the extent such amounts are expected to be collected. We will generally cease accruing PIK interest if there is insufficient value to support the accrual or we do not expect the portfolio company to be able to pay all principal and interest due. In addition, we may also be entitled to an end-of-term payment that we amortize into income over the life of the loan. To maintain our status as a RIC, PIK and end-of-term income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though we have not yet collected the cash. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments. The Company recorded approximately \$285,000 and \$1.4 million in PIK income in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded approximately \$552,000 and \$1.7 million in the same periods ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Fee Income.

Fee income, generally collected in advance, includes loan commitment and facility fees for due diligence and structuring, as well as fees for transaction services and management services rendered by us to portfolio companies and other third parties. Loan and commitment fees are amortized into income over the contractual life of the loan. Management fees are generally recognized as income when the services are rendered. Loan origination fees are capitalized and then amortized into interest income using the effective interest rate method. In certain loan arrangements, warrants or other equity interests are received from the borrower as additional origination fees.

We recognize nonrecurring fees amortized over the remaining term of the loan commencing in the quarter relating to specific loan modifications. Certain fees may still be recognized as one-time fees, including prepayment penalties, fees related to select covenant default waiver fees and acceleration of previously deferred loan fees and original issue discount (OID) related to early loan pay-off or material modification of the specific debt outstanding.

Equity Offering Expenses

Our offering costs, excluding underwriters' fees, are charged against the proceeds from equity offerings when received.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are being amortized over the life of the related debt instrument using the straight line method, which closely approximates the effective yield method.

Stock-Based Compensation.

We have issued and may, from time to time, issue additional stock options and restricted stock to employees under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and Board members under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. We follow ASC 718, formally known as FAS 123R "*Share-Based Payments*" to account for stock options granted. Under ASC 718, compensation expense associated with stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized.

Federal Income Taxes.

We intend to operate so as to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and, as such, will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders. To qualify as a RIC, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. We are subject to a non-deductible federal excise tax if we do not distribute at least 98% of our taxable income and 98.2% of our capital gain net income for each one year period ending on October 31. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, no excise tax was recorded. At December 31, 2008, we recorded a liability for excise tax of approximately \$203,000 on income and capital gains of approximately \$5.0 million which was distributed in 2009. Because federal income tax regulations differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary. Permanent differences are reclassified among capital accounts in the financial statement to reflect their tax character. Temporary differences arise when certain items of income, expense, gain or loss are recognized at some time in the future. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* ("ASU 2010-06"), which amends ASC 820 and requires additional disclosure related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements with respect to transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The update also clarifies existing disclosure requirements related to the level of disaggregation and disclosure about inputs and valuation techniques. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for disclosures related to activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted the requirements of ASU-2010-06 in the fourth quarter of 2009 and its adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In May 2011, the FASB issued *Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04—Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*, or ASU 2011-04. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, changes the application of some requirements for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosure for fair value measurements. The highest and best use valuation premise is only applicable to non-financial assets. In addition, the disclosure requirements are expanded to include for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy: (1) a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement; (2) a description of the valuation processes in place; and (3) a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, for public entities. We are evaluating the impact that our adoption of this update may have on our financial position or results of operations.

Subsequent Events

Closed and Pending Commitments

As of November 3, 2011, we have closed commitments of approximately \$45.0 million to new and existing portfolio companies, and funded approximately \$30.0 million since the close of the third quarter. In addition, we have pending commitments (signed term sheets) of approximately \$129.0 million.

The table below summarizes our year-to-date closed and pending commitments as follows:

| 2011 Closed Commitments and Pending Commitments (in millions) | |
|---|-----------------------|
| January 1 – September 30 Closed Commitments | \$465.0 |
| Q4-11 Closed Commitments (as of November 3, 2011) | \$ 45.0 |
| Total year to date 2011 Closed Commitments^(a) | \$510.0 |
| Pending Commitments (as November 3, 2011) ^(b) | \$129.0 |
| Total year to date | <u>\$639.0</u> |

A. Not all Closed Commitments result in future cash requirements. Commitments generally fund over the two succeeding quarters from close.

B. Not all Pending Commitments (signed non-binding term sheets) are expected to close and do not necessarily represent any future cash requirements.

Portfolio Company Developments

In October 2011, Hercules' portfolio company LaboPharm, Inc. was acquired by Paladin Labs resulting in the full repayment of Hercules' debt of approximately \$12.0 million and the cancellation of the remaining warrants.

Company Developments

In October 2011, Hercules announced the opening of its new office in McLean, Virginia, thereby expanding to the Mid-Atlantic and South-Atlantic regions where the Company was previously under represented.

On November 2, 2011, the Company renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk is defined as the sensitivity of our current and future earnings to interest rate volatility, variability of spread relationships, the difference in re-pricing intervals between our assets and liabilities and the effect that interest rates may have on our cash flows. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect our net investment income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest earning assets and our interest expense incurred in connection with our interest bearing debt and liabilities. Changes in interest rates can also affect, among other things, our ability to acquire and originate loans and securities and the value of our investment portfolio.

As of September 30, 2011, approximately 91.1% of our portfolio loans were at variable rates or variable rates with a floor and 8.9% of our loans were at fixed rates. Over time additional investments may be at variable rates. We do not currently engage in any hedging activities. However, we may, in the future, hedge against interest rate fluctuations by using standard hedging instruments such as futures, options, and forward contracts. While hedging activities may insulate us against changes in interest rates, they may also limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to our borrowed funds and higher interest rates with respect to our portfolio of investments. Interest rates on our borrowings are based primarily on LIBOR. Borrowings under our SBA program are fixed at the ten year treasury rate every March and September for borrowings of the preceding six months. Borrowings under the program are charged interest based on ten year treasury rates plus a spread and the rates are generally set for a pool of debentures issued by the SBA in six-month periods. The rates of borrowings under the various draws from the SBA beginning in April 2007 and set semiannually in March and September range from 2.88% to 5.73%. In addition, the SBA charges a fee that is set annually, depending on the Federal fiscal year the leverage commitment was delegated by the SBA, regardless of the date that the leverage was drawn by the SBIC. The annual fee related to HT III debentures that pooled on September 21, 2011 was 0.285%. The annual fees related to HT II debentures that pooled on September 22, 2010 were 0.406% and 0.285%, depending upon the year the underlying commitment was closed in. The annual fees on other debentures have been set at 0.906%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 for HT II was approximately \$125.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 5.0%, and for HT III was approximately \$63.75 million with an average interest rate of approximately 3.5%. Interest is payable semiannually and there are no principal payments required on these issues prior to maturity. Debentures under the SBA generally mature ten years after being borrowed. Based on the initial draw down date of April 2007, the initial maturity of SBA debentures will occur in April 2017.

Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50% with a floor of 5.0%. The Wells Facility is collateralized by debt investment in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Wells Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. The Wells Facility requires the monthly payment of a non-use fee of 0.3% for each payment date on or before September 1, 2011. From September 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011, this non-use fee was 0.75%. The monthly payment of a non-use fee thereafter shall depend on the average balance that was outstanding on a scale between 0.0% and 0.75%. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. There were no borrowings outstanding under this facility at September 30, 2011. The facility expires in June 2014.

Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%. The Union Bank Facility required the payment of an unused fee of 0.25% annually. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. There were no outstanding borrowings under this facility at September 30, 2011. In June 2011, the maturity date under the credit facility was extended from July 31, 2011 to December 31, 2011, subject to the same terms and conditions. On November 2, 2011, we renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months.

Table of Contents

Borrowings under the Convertible Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2016 (the "Maturity Date"), unless previously converted or repurchased in accordance with their terms. The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.00% per year payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2011. The Convertible Senior Notes are our senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to our existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Senior Notes; equal in right of payment to our existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness (including unsecured indebtedness that we later secure) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by our subsidiaries, financing vehicles or similar facilities.

Because we currently borrow, and plan to borrow in the future, money to make investments, our net investment income is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest the funds borrowed. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which could reduce our net investment income if there is not a corresponding increase in interest income generated by variable rate assets in our investment portfolio.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) have concluded our current disclosure controls and procedures were not effective in timely alerting them of material information relating to the Company that is required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of September 30, 2011 because of the continuing remediation efforts discussed below.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As described in Item 9A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2011, management identified remedial steps that were implemented with respect to disclosed material weaknesses. In light of these material weaknesses, the Company refined its procedures to ensure its financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The status of the remediation efforts, as discussed below, was regularly reviewed with management and the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee was advised of issues encountered and key decisions reached by management relating to the remediation efforts. Accordingly, management believes that the financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q present fairly in all material respects the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

During the three month period ended December 31, 2010, and in connection with the year-end audit process, the Company corrected the valuation process to refine its application of ASC 820. The Company applied a new procedure that assumes a sale of an investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under the new process, the Company has continued to evaluate the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as apply all of its historical fair value analysis. The Company uses pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date. The Company considers each portfolio company's credit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment's fair value as of the measurement date. The Company has completed its evaluation and testing of these additional processes. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, management evaluated the remedial action, assessed the operating effectiveness of the remediated controls and concluded that it has remediated the material weakness described above.

Table of Contents

In connection with the preparation of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, the Company identified a material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the registrant's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In particular, management became aware of matters where existing controls did not operate effectively to detect manual input errors in calculations used to derive the fair value of some investment portfolio holdings as of the measurement date, thereby impacting reported amounts with respect to investments and net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments. This control deficiency could result in misstatements of the aforementioned accounts and disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Because of this material weakness, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2011. The Company designed and implemented its remediation efforts, as outlined below, to address the material weakness identified as of March 31, 2011 and to strengthen its internal control over financial reporting. Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, the Company has implemented the following remediation steps to address the material weakness as it relates to manual input errors in calculations used and to improve its internal control over financial reporting:

- adding additional layers of review to ensure accuracy, existence and completeness of the number of equity security holdings as of the measurement date;
- adding additional review steps, particularly surrounding any manually input data, in the calculations used to support the fair value of investments as of the measurement date; and
- seeking to recruit additional experienced professionals to augment and upgrade its financial staff to address issues of timeliness and completeness in financial reporting.

As of September 30, 2011, management believes it has placed in operation controls to address the material weakness, however given the timing of certain remediation activities there was not sufficient evidence to conclude upon their sustained effectiveness. As a result, during 2011, management will continue to monitor and test the controls that have been implemented to ensure sustained effectiveness and will further remediate should any evidence of ineffectiveness be found.

The Audit Committee has directed management to monitor and test the controls implemented and develop additional controls should any of these new controls require further enhancement. In addition, under the direction of the Audit Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the Company's internal control environment, as well as policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Management believes the measures described above and others that will be implemented as necessary will remediate the control deficiencies the Company has identified and strengthen its internal control over financial reporting. Management is committed to continuous improvement of the Company's internal control processes and will continue to diligently review the Company's financial reporting controls and procedures. As management continues to evaluate and work to improve internal control over financial reporting, the Company may determine to take additional measures to address control deficiencies or to determine to modify, or in appropriate circumstances not to complete, certain of the remediation measures described above.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

At September 30, 2011, we were not a party to any legal proceedings. However, from time to time, we may be party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business including the enforcement of our rights under contracts with our portfolio companies. While the outcome of these legal proceedings cannot at this time be predicted with certainty, we do not expect that these proceedings will have a material effect upon our financial condition or results of operations and cash flows.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the risks discussed below, important risk factors that could cause results or events to differ from current expectations are described in Part I, Item 1A “Risk Factors” of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We have identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, and our business and stock price may be adversely affected if we have not adequately addressed the weakness.

As a result of our evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2010, management identified a material weakness related to our valuation process specifically involving debt investments. We have corrected the valuation process to refine our application of ASC 820 and believe that our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 reflect the fair value of our debt investments in accordance with ASC 820 using the new valuation procedure. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized additional unrealized depreciation of \$803,000, which is not material to the 2010 consolidated financial statements. Management has evaluated the remedial action, assessed the operating effectiveness of the remediated controls and concluded that it has remediated the material weakness described above.

In connection with the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements for the three-month period ended March 31, 2011, we identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting related to manual input errors in calculations used to derive the fair value of some investment portfolio holdings as of the measurement date, thereby impacting reported amounts with respect to investments and net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments. Our consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 reflect the fair value of our investments and continue to take remediation steps to enhance the internal control procedures in order to effectively remediate the deficiencies in our internal control processes related to such errors.

If we cannot produce reliable financial reports, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, the market price of our stock and the Convertible Senior Notes could decline significantly, we may be unable to obtain additional financing to operate and expand our business, and our business and financial condition could be harmed. See Item 4—Controls and Procedures.

It is likely that the terms of any long-term or revolving credit or warehouse facility we may enter into in the future could constrain our ability to grow our business.

On August 25, 2008, we, through a special purpose wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into a two-year revolving senior secured credit facility with an optional one-year extension with initial commitments of \$50.0 million at closing with Wells Fargo Capital Finance (the “Wells Facility”). The Wells Facility has the capacity to increase to \$300.0 million if additional lenders are added to the lending syndicate. As of September 30, 2011, we had zero outstanding borrowings under the Wells Facility.

On June 20, 2011, we renewed the Wells Facility. The revolving credit facility will expire on June 20, 2014. The new facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Wells Fargo Capital Finance and subject to other customary conditions. There can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the new credit facility. This new arrangement replaced the previous \$300.0 million Wells Facility, under which Wells Fargo Capital Finance had committed \$50.0 million in capital. Under the new three-year senior secured facility,

Table of Contents

Wells Fargo Capital Finance has a commitment of \$75.0 million. Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50% with a floor of 5.00%. The Wells Facility requires the payment of a monthly non-use fee and has an advance rate equal to 50% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Wells Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity.

On February 10, 2010, we entered a \$20.0 million one-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Union Bank (the "Union Bank Facility"). Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%, an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans, and secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Union Bank Facility required the payment of a non-use fee of 0.25% annually. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50.0% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity. In February 2011, the maturity date of the facility was extended from May 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011. Union Bank Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2011.

On June 7, 2011, we entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility which extended the borrowing termination date to September 30, 2011. The amendment to the Union Bank Facility also amends the maturity date of Union Bank's \$20.0 million commitment to mean the earliest of: (a) December 31, 2011; (b) the date on which Union Bank's obligation to make loans is terminated and the obligations are declared to be due and payable or the commitment is terminated; or (c) the date of prepayment in full by the Company. There was no outstanding debt under the Union Bank Facility at September 30, 2011.

On November 2, 2011, we renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding Subordinated Indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of common stock after March 31, 2011. The Union Bank Facility will mature on November 2, 2014, approximately three years from the date of issuance, revolving through the first 24 months with a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. The other terms of the Union Bank Facility generally remain unchanged, including the stated interest rate. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions.

The current lenders under the Wells Facility and the Union Bank Facility have, and any future lender or lenders will have, fixed dollar claims on our assets that are senior to the claims of our stockholders and, thus, will have a preference over our stockholders with respect to our assets in the collateral pool. In addition, we may grant a security interest in our assets in connection with any such borrowing. These facilities contain customary default provisions such as a minimum net worth amount, a profitability test, and a restriction on changing our business and loan quality standards. In addition, such facilities require or are expected to require the repayment of all outstanding debt on the maturity which may disrupt our business and potentially, the business of our portfolio companies that are financed through the facilities. An event of default under these facilities would likely result, among other things, in termination of the availability of further funds under that facility and an accelerated maturity date for all amounts outstanding under the facility, which would likely disrupt our business and, potentially, the business of the portfolio companies whose loans we financed through the facility. This could reduce our revenues and, by delaying any cash payment allowed to us under our facility until the lender has been paid in full, reduce our liquidity and cash flow and impair our ability to grow our business and maintain our status as a RIC.

The terms of future available financing may place limits on our financial and operating flexibility. If we are unable to obtain sufficient capital in the future, we may:

- be forced to reduce or discontinue our operations;
- not be able to expand or acquire complementary businesses; and
- not be able to develop new services or otherwise respond to changing business conditions or competitive pressures.

There is no assurance that HT II or HT III will be able to draw up to the maximum limit available under the SBIC program.

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. As of September 30, 2011, HT II had the potential to borrow up to \$125.0 million of SBA-guaranteed debentures under the SBIC program. With our net investment of \$75.0 million in HT II as of September 30, 2011, HT II has the capacity to issue a total of \$125.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$125.0 million is outstanding as of September 30, 2011.

On May 26, 2010, HT III received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. As of September 30, 2011, HT III had the potential to borrow up to \$100.0 million of SBA-guaranteed debentures under the SBIC program. With our net investment of \$50.0 million in HT III as of September 30, 2011, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$100.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$63.75 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2011.

As of September 30, 2011, there was \$188.75 million principal amount of indebtedness outstanding incurred by our SBIC subsidiaries. Access to the remaining leverage is subject to SBA approval and compliance with SBA regulations.

There is no assurance that HT II or HT III will be able to draw up to the maximum limit available under the SBIC program.

Table of Contents

Our investments in the life science industry are subject to extensive government regulation and certain other risks particular to that industry.

We have invested and plan to continue investing in companies in the life science industry that are subject to extensive regulation by the Food and Drug Administration and to a lesser extent, other federal and state agencies. If any of these portfolio companies fail to comply with applicable regulations, they could be subject to significant penalties and claims that could materially and adversely affect their operations. Portfolio companies that produce medical devices or drugs are subject to the expense, delay and uncertainty of the regulatory approval process for their products and, even if approved, these products may not be accepted in the marketplace. In addition, new laws, regulations or judicial interpretations of existing laws and regulations might adversely affect a portfolio company in this industry. Portfolio companies in the life science industry may also have a limited number of suppliers of necessary components or a limited number of manufacturers for their products, and therefore face a risk of disruption to their manufacturing process if they are unable to find alternative suppliers when needed. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect the operations of a portfolio company in this industry and, in turn, impair our ability to timely collect principal and interest payments owed to us.

Our investments in the clean technology industry are subject to many risks, including volatility, intense competition, shortened product life cycles and periodic downturns.

Our investments in clean technology companies are subject to substantial operational risks, such as failed drilling or well development, unscheduled outages, underestimated cost projections, unanticipated operation and maintenance expenses, failure to obtain the necessary permits to operate and failure of third-party contractors (e.g., energy producers and shippers) to perform their contractual obligations. In addition, energy companies employ a variety of means of increasing cash flow, including increasing utilization of existing facilities, expanding operations through new construction or acquisitions, or securing additional long-term contracts. Thus, some energy companies may be subject to construction risk, acquisition risk or other risks arising from their specific business strategies. Furthermore, production levels for wind, solar and other renewable energies may be dependent upon adequate wind, sunlight, or biogas production, which can vary from period to period, resulting in volatility in production levels and profitability. In addition, any clean technology have narrow product lines and small market shares, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as to general economic downturns. The revenues, income (or losses) and valuations of clean technology companies can and often do fluctuate suddenly and dramatically and the markets in which clean technology companies operate are generally characterized by abrupt business cycles and intense competition. Demand for clean technology and renewable energy is also influenced by the available supply and prices for other energy products, such as coal, oil and natural gases. A change in prices in these energy products could reduce demand for alternative energy. There is particular uncertainty about whether agreements providing incentives for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, such as the Kyoto Protocol, will continue and whether countries around the world will enact or maintain legislation that provides incentives for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, without which such investments in clean technology dependent portfolio companies may not be economical or financing for such projects may become unavailable. As a result, these portfolio company investments face considerable risk, including the risk that favorable regulatory regimes expire or are adversely modified. This could, in turn, materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our financial results could be negatively affected if a significant portfolio investment fails to perform as expected.

Our total investment in companies may be significant individually or in the aggregate. As a result, if a significant investment in one or more companies fails to perform as expected, our financial results could be more negatively affected and the magnitude of the loss could be more significant than if we had made smaller investments in more companies. The following table shows the fair value of the totals of investments held in portfolio companies at September 30, 2011 that represent greater than 5% of net assets:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Percentage of Net Assets |
| Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | \$ 29,887 | 7.1% |
| Women's Marketing, Inc. | \$ 29,405 | 7.0% |
| Tectura Corporation | \$ 26,574 | 6.3% |
| Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc | \$ 26,264 | 6.2% |
| Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc | \$ 25,705 | 6.1% |
| Brightsource Energy, Inc | \$ 25,261 | 6.0% |
| Revanche Therapeutics, Inc | \$ 21,814 | 5.2% |

Table of Contents

Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery and development of new, targeted cancer therapeutics.

Women's Marketing, Inc. is a media solutions company, delivering premium media at value pricing across all platforms.

Tectura Corporation is an IT services firm that specializes in Microsoft Business Solutions applications.

Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is an emerging specialty pharmaceutical company focused on the development, commercialization and manufacture of new pharmaceutical products.

Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing products to treat serious diseases, including cardiovascular and autoimmune diseases.

Brightsource Energy, Inc. designs, develops and sells solar thermal power systems that deliver reliable, clean energy to utilities and industrial companies.

Revance Therapeutics, Inc. is a privately held biopharmaceutical company developing products that will change the way that drugs are delivered by carrying active levels of drug across the skin to deliver at specific and targeted depths.

Tectura Corporation is an IT services firm that specializes in Microsoft Business Solutions applications.

Our financial results could be negatively affected if these portfolio companies or any of our other significant portfolio companies encounter financial difficulty and fail to repay their obligations or to perform as expected.

Economic downturns or recessions could impair the value of the collateral for our loans to our portfolio companies increase our funding costs, limit our access to the credit and capital markets, impair the ability of a portfolio company to satisfy covenants imposed by its lenders and consequently increase the possibility of an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Many of our portfolio companies are susceptible to economic recessions and may be unable to repay our loans during such periods. Therefore, our non-performing assets are likely to increase and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during such periods. Adverse economic conditions may also decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans and the value of our equity investments. In particular, intellectual property owned or controlled by our portfolio companies may constitute an important portion of the value of the collateral of our loans to our portfolio companies. Adverse economic conditions may decrease the demand for our portfolio companies' intellectual property and consequently its value in the event of a bankruptcy or required sale through a foreclosure proceeding. As a result, our ability to fully recover the amounts owed to us under the terms of the loans may be impaired by such events.

Economic slowdowns or recessions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of the portfolio company's loans and foreclosure on its secured assets, which could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize the portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms with a defaulting portfolio company.

Beginning in the fall of 2008, the global economy entered a financial crisis and recession. Volatile capital and credit markets, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment precipitated a continuing economic slowdown. Although there have been signs of recovery in many regions, economic weakness could continue or worsen. For example, the current U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns, together with signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, have increased the possibility of credit-rating downgrades and economic slowdowns. Although U.S. lawmakers passed legislation to raise the federal debt ceiling, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the United States from "AAA" to "AA+" on August 5, 2011. The impact of this or any further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating, or its perceived creditworthiness, and the impact of the current crisis in Europe with respect to the ability of certain European Union countries to continue to service their sovereign debt obligations is inherently unpredictable and could adversely effect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. There can be no assurance that governmental or other measures to aid economic recovery will be effective. Continued adverse economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable

ITEM 4. RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|---------------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.
(Registrant)

Dated: November 3, 2011

/s/ MANUEL A. HENRIQUEZ

Manuel A. Henriquez
Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: November 3, 2011

/s/ JESSICA BARON

Jessica Baron
Interim Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|------------------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

**AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (the "Report") of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (the "Registrant"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof; I, Manuel A. Henriquez, the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, certify, to the best of my knowledge, that:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of the Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: November 3, 2011

By: /s/ MANUEL A. HENRIQUEZ
Manuel A. Henriquez
Chief Executive Officer

